

Rho-classes, index theory and Stolz' positive scalar curvature sequence

Paolo Piazza*
Sapienza Università di Roma

Thomas Schick†
Georg-August-Universität Göttingen

October 26, 2012

Abstract

In this paper, we study the space of metrics of positive scalar curvature using methods from coarse geometry.

Given a closed spin manifold M with fundamental group Γ , Stephan Stolz introduced the positive scalar curvature exact sequence, in analogy to the surgery exact sequence in topology. It calculates a structure group of metrics of positive scalar curvature on M (the object we want to understand) in terms of spin-bordism of $B\Gamma$ (the classifying space of Γ) and a somewhat mysterious group $R^{\text{spin}}(\Gamma)$.

Higson and Roe introduced a K-theory exact sequence $\rightarrow K_*(B\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\alpha} K_*(C_\Gamma^*) \xrightarrow{j} K_{*+1}(D_\Gamma^*) \rightarrow$ in coarse geometry which contains the Baum-Connes assembly map α , with $K_*(D_\Gamma^*)$ canonically associated to Γ . The K-theory groups in question are the home of interesting index invariants and secondary invariants, in particular the rho-class $\rho_\Gamma(g) \in K_*(D_\Gamma^*)$ of a metric of positive scalar curvature on a spin manifold.

One of our main results is the construction of a map from the Stolz exact sequence to the Higson-Roe exact sequence (commuting with all arrows), using coarse index theory throughout. This theorem complements the results of Higson and Roe in [11], [12], [13] where they show that it is indeed possible to map the surgery exact sequence in topology to their sequence $\rightarrow K_*(B\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\alpha} K_*(C_\Gamma^*) \xrightarrow{j} K_{*+1}(D_\Gamma^*) \rightarrow$.

Our main tool are two index theorems, which we believe to be of independent interest. The first is an index theorem of Atiyah-Patodi-Singer type. Here, assume that Y is a compact spin manifold with boundary, with a Riemannian metric g which is of positive scalar curvature when restricted to the boundary (and $\pi_1(Y) = \Gamma$). Because the Dirac operator on the boundary is invertible, one constructs an APS-index $\text{Ind}_\Gamma(Y) \in K_*(C_\Gamma^*)$. This can be pushed forward to $j_*(\text{Ind}_\Gamma(Y)) \in K_*(D_\Gamma^*)$ (corresponding to the “delocalized part” of the index). We then prove a delocalized APS-index theorem, equating this class to the rho-class of the boundary

$$j_*(\text{Ind}_\Gamma(Z)) = \rho_\Gamma(g_{\partial Z}) \in K_*(D_\Gamma^*).$$

Secondly, given a (non-compact) spin manifold W with positive scalar curvature metric g , with a free and discrete isometric action by a group Γ and a Γ -invariant cocompact partitioning hypersurface M , one can use a “partitioned manifold construction” in order to obtain the partitioned manifold ρ -class $\rho_\Gamma^{\text{pm}}(g) \in K(D_\Gamma^*)$. Assume in addition that M has a tubular neighborhood where the metric g is a product, in particular the induced metric g_M also has positive scalar curvature. Then we prove the partitioned manifold ρ -class theorem

$$\rho_\Gamma(g_M) = \rho_\Gamma^{\text{pm}}(g) \in K_*(D_\Gamma^*).$$

*e-mail: piazza@mat.uniroma1.it — www: <http://www.mat.uniroma1.it/people/piazza>
partially funded by *Ministero dell'Istruzione, dell'Università e della Ricerca* through the project *Spazi di moduli e teoria di Lie* and as a guest for several week-long visits in Göttingen by the Courant Research Center “Higher order structures in Mathematics” within the German initiative of excellence

†e-mail: schick@uni-math.gwdg.de — www: <http://www.uni-math.gwdg.de/schick>
partially funded by the Courant Research Center “Higher order structures in Mathematics” within the German initiative of excellence, and partially funded by Sapienza Università di Roma for a 3-months visiting professorship in Rome

Contents

1	Introduction and main results	2
1.1	Basics on coarse geometry and coarse index theory	2
1.2	Index and ρ -classes	5
1.3	Delocalized APS-index theorem	6
1.4	Secondary index theorem for ρ -classes on partitioned manifolds	7
1.5	Mapping the positive scalar curvature sequence to analysis	8
2	Coarse, b- and APS index classes	10
2.1	Index classes in the closed case	10
2.2	Index classes on manifolds with boundary	12
2.3	Delocalizing	13
3	K-theory homomorphisms	15
3.1	Geometrically induced homomorphisms	15
3.2	Kasparov Lemma	16
3.3	The Mayer-Vietoris sequence	16
3.4	Mayer-Vietoris for the cylinder	17
4	Proofs of the main theorems	17
4.1	Delocalized APS: reduction to the cylinder	17
4.2	Partitioned manifold theorem for ρ -classes: reduction to the cylinder	21
4.3	Proof of the delocalized APS index theorem on the cylinder	23
4.4	Proof of Propositions 4.34 and 4.40	27
4.5	Proof of the partitioned theorem for ρ -classes on the cylinder	30
5	Mapping the positive scalar curvature sequence to analysis	31

1 Introduction and main results

1.1 Basics on coarse geometry and coarse index theory

We start by recalling the basic constructions of coarse geometry, their associated C^* -algebras and K -theory as used in the paper. We shall freely use concepts and results from [33] and [9].

Basic definitions

1.1 Definition. Let X be a complete Riemannian manifold of positive dimension, $C_c(X)$ the compactly supported continuous functions with values in $\mathbb{C}$ and $C_0(X)$ its sup-norm closure, the continuous functions vanishing at infinity.

Let $E \rightarrow X$ be a Hermitean vector bundle. We consider $H := L^2(E)$ and $H' := L^2(E) \otimes l^2(\mathbb{N})$. These are so-called *adequate* X -modules, which means that H is a Hilbert space with a C^* -homomorphism $C_0(X) \rightarrow B(H)$, given here by pointwise multiplication, and if $0 \neq f \in C_0(X)$ then it does not act as compact operator, and that $C_0(X)H$ is dense in H . We have a canonical isometry $u: H \rightarrow H'$ mapping into the first direct summand of $l^2(\mathbb{N})$. Using this, we map an operator A on H to the operators uAu^* on H' . We will implicitly do this throughout the paper and this way secretly consider the operators on H as operators on H' , without explicitly mentioning it.

- $D_c^*(X, H)$ is defined to be the algebra of bounded operators T on $L^2(E) \otimes l^2(\mathbb{N})$ with the following properties:

- (1) T has finite propagation, which means that there is an $R > 0$ such that for each $s \in L^2(E)$ and for each $x \in \text{supp}(Ts)$, $d(x, \text{supp}(s)) < R$.
- (2) T is *pseudo-local*: for each $\phi \in C_c(X)$, the commutator $[T, \phi]$ is compact.

$D^*(X, H)$ is defined to be the norm closure of $D_c^*(X, H)$.

- $C_c^*(X, H)$ is defined to be the subalgebra of $D_c^*(X, H)$ of operators which are in addition *locally compact*, i.e. $T\phi$ and ϕT are compact for each $\phi \in C_c(X)$. $C^*(X, H)$ is the C^* -closure of $C_c^*(X, H)$. This is the *Roe algebra* of X .

The definition generalizes to an arbitrary proper metric space X ; $L^2(E)$ then has to be replaced by an abstract adequate $C_0(X)$ -module.

Functoriality

There are natural functoriality statements that we recall from [33], [9] and [15]. First of all, recall:

1.2 Definition. $f: X \rightarrow Y$ between proper metric spaces is a *coarse map* if for each $R > 0$ there is $S > 0$ such that the image under f of every R -ball is contained in an S -ball and, moreover, the inverse image of every bounded set is bounded.

We will not prove the following functoriality results of Higson and Roe, but we recall, after the Proposition, the relevant construction which we are going to use.

1.3 Proposition. *If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous coarse map and H_X, H_Y are adequate $C_0(X)$ or $C_0(Y)$ -modules, respectively, then f induces a non-canonical, but with suitable choices functorial homomorphism $f_*: D^*(X, H_X) \rightarrow D^*(Y, H_Y)$ which maps $C^*(X, H_X)$ to $C^*(Y, H_Y)$. The induced map in K -theory is canonical.*

1.4 Definition. Applying Proposition 1.3 to $\text{id}: X \rightarrow X$ we observe that $C^*(X, H_X)$ and $D^*(X, H_X)$ depend only mildly on the adequate module H_X , and that their K -theory is independent of this choice. We follow the custom of [33] and drop H_X from the notation, writing simply $C^*(X)$ or $D^*(X)$ instead of $C^*(X, H_X)$, $D^*(X, H_X)$.

For the construction of f_* of Proposition 1.3, we need the following concepts:

1.5 Definition. Let H_X and H_Y two adequate modules and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a coarse map. We shall say that an isometric embedding $W: H_X \rightarrow H_Y$ *covers f in the C^* -sense* if W is the norm-limit of linear maps V satisfying the following condition:

$$\begin{aligned} \exists R > 0 \text{ such that } \phi V \psi = 0 \text{ if } d(\text{Supp}(\phi), f(\text{Supp}(\psi))) > R, \\ \text{for } \phi \in C_c(Y) \text{ and } \psi \in C_c(X). \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

Given a coarse map it is always possible to find an isometry V satisfying (1.6). Given such an isometry, the map $\text{Ad}(W)(T) := WTW^*$, from the bounded operators on H_X to the bounded operators of H_Y , sends $C^*(X, H_X)$ to $C^*(Y, H_Y)$ and we define $f_* := \text{Ad}(W): C^*(X, H_X) \rightarrow C^*(Y, H_Y)$. The induced map in K -theory is independent of the choice of W , see [15, Lemma 3]. Moreover, by [8] the functor $K_*(C^*(X))$ is a coarse homotopy invariant.

Regarding $D^*(X, H)$ we have the following.

1.7 Definition. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a *continuous* coarse map, let H_X, H_Y be two adequate modules. We shall say that an isometry $W: H_X \rightarrow H_Y$ *covers f in the D^* -sense* if W is the norm-limit of bounded maps V satisfying the following two conditions:

- there is a $R > 0$ such that $\phi V \psi = 0$ if $d(\text{Supp}(\phi), f(\text{Supp}(\psi))) > R$, with $\phi \in C_c(Y)$ and $\psi \in C_c(X)$;

- $\phi V - V(\phi \circ f)$ is compact for each $\phi \in C_0(Y)$

For such a W one proves that $\text{Ad}(W)$ sends $D^*(X, H_X)$ into $D^*(Y, H_Y)$ and induces therefore a morphism $f_* := \text{Ad}(W): D^*(X, H_X) \rightarrow D^*(Y, H_Y)$. As for C^* one proves that the induced map in K-theory does not depend on the choice of W . See again [15, Lemma 3].

Up to tensoring with $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$, see [9, Lemma 7.7], it is always possible to find an isometry W satisfying the required two properties, which is the reason why we included this tensor product with $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ in the definition of $D^*(X)$.

By [9, Lemma 7.8], $K_*(D^*(X))$ is an invariant of the *continuous coarse homotopy type*.

Coarse algebras for subspaces

To be able to use standard techniques from C^* -K-theory, given a subspace $A \subset X$ we replace C^*A by an ideal $C^*(A \subset X)$ of C^*X as follows:

1.8 Definition. Let X be a proper metric space and $Z \subset X$ a subset. Define $D^*(Z \subset X)^1$ as the closure of those $T \in D^*(X)$ such that there is R_T with $\phi T = 0 = T\phi$ whenever $\phi \in C_c(X)$ with $d(\text{supp}(\phi), Z) > R_T$. Define $C^*(Z \subset X) := C^*(X) \cap D^*(Z \subset X)$. Then $D^*(Z \subset X)$ and $C^*(Z \subset X)$ are ideals in $D^*(X)$.

1.9 Lemma. (compare [15, Lemma 1]) Given a subspace Z of a proper metric space, the inclusion $Z \hookrightarrow X$ induces a K-theory isomorphism $C^*Z \rightarrow C^*(Z \subset X)$.

Equivariant version

Assume now in addition that a discrete group Γ acts freely and isometrically on the manifold X and the Hermitean bundle E . It then also acts by unitaries on $H = L^2(E)$. Then we define

- (1) $D^*(X)^\Gamma$ to be the norm closure of the Γ -invariant part $D_c^*(X)^\Gamma$, and its ideal $C^*(X)^\Gamma$ is defined in the same way. If Z is a Γ -invariant subspace, we define in the corresponding way the ideals $D^*(Z \subset X)^\Gamma$ and $C^*(Z \subset X)^\Gamma$.
- (2) The construction generalizes to an arbitrary proper metric space X with proper isometric Γ -action, using a Γ -adequate² $C_c(X)$ -module H with compatible unitary Γ -action.
- (3) As indicated in the notation, one has suitable independence on E , along the way with the obvious generalization of functoriality to Γ -equivariant maps.
- (4) If Γ acts cocompactly on X , then we have an isomorphism $C^*(X)^\Gamma \simeq C_r^*(\Gamma) \otimes \mathcal{K}$ so that $K_*(C^*(X)^\Gamma) \simeq K_*(C_r^*(\Gamma))$ canonically, and we write C_Γ^* for any $C^*(X)^\Gamma$. If, moreover, the quotient $V = X/\Gamma$ is a finite complex, then $K_*(D^*(X)^\Gamma/C^*(X)^\Gamma) \simeq K_{*-1}(V)$; see [33, Lemmas 5.14, 5.15].

1.10 Definition. Let Γ be a discrete group. Define

$$K_*(D_\Gamma^*) := \varinjlim_{X \subset E\Gamma \text{ } \Gamma\text{-compact}} K_*(D^*(X)^\Gamma).$$

Here, $E\Gamma$ is any contractible CW-complex with free cellular Γ -action, a universal space for free Γ actions.

If M is a complete metric space with a free cocompact isometric Γ -action, there is a universal Γ -map $u: M \rightarrow E\Gamma$ with range in a Γ -finite subcomplex (u is automatically coarse), and any two such maps are (coarsely continuously) Γ -homotopic. We therefore get canonical induced maps

$$u_*: K_*(C^*(M)^\Gamma) \rightarrow K_*(C_\Gamma^*); \quad u_*: K_*(D^*(M)^\Gamma) \rightarrow K_*(D_\Gamma^*).$$

Moreover, for $C^*(M)^\Gamma$ the map is a canonical isomorphism.

¹we deviate here from the notation employed in the literature, e.g. $C_Z^*(X)$ for $C^*(Z \subset X)$ in [33, Definition 3.10]

²adequate requires a little bit of extra care, compare [33, Definition 5.13]: replacing H by $H \otimes \ell^2(\Gamma) \otimes \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ would do

More generally, if W is a complete metric space with free Γ -action, $M \subset W$ is Γ -invariant and M/Γ is compact then $D^*(M \subset W)^\Gamma$ is the limit of $D^*(U_R(M))^\Gamma$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$, where $U_R(M)$ is the closed R -neighborhood of M , again a Γ -compact metric space. We get a compatible system of universal maps to $E\Gamma$, all with image in finite subcomplexes, and an induced compatible system of maps in K-theory, giving rise to the maps

$$K_*(D^*(M)^\Gamma) \xrightarrow{i_*} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} K_*(D^*(U_R(M))^\Gamma) \cong K_*(D^*(M \subset W)^\Gamma) \xrightarrow{u_*} K_*(D_\Gamma^*),$$

where the composition is the universal map for $D^*(M)^\Gamma$.

1.2 Index and ρ -classes

We now recall Roe's method of applying C^* -techniques to the Dirac operator to efficiently define primary and secondary invariants for spin manifolds in the context of coarse geometry.

Let X be an arbitrary complete spin manifold with free isometric action by Γ of dimension $n > 0$. Fix an odd chopping function $\chi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, i.e. $\chi(x) \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow +\infty} 1$. With the Dirac operator D_X we now consider $\chi(D_X)$. Roe proves, using finite propagation speed of the wave operator and ellipticity, that this is an element in $D^*(X)^\Gamma$, compare [31, Proposition 2.3].

1.11 Proposition. *Assume that $Y \subset X$ is Γ -invariant and the scalar curvature is uniformly positive outside Y . Then $\chi(D_X)$ is an involution modulo $C^*(Y \subset X)^\Gamma$.*

In particular, if we have uniformly positive scalar curvature, then $\chi(D_X)$ is an involution in $D^*(X)^\Gamma$.

For the other extreme, without any further curvature assumption, $\chi(D_X)$ is an involution modulo $C^*(X)^\Gamma$.

This important proposition is at the heart of the method. It is stated by Roe [33, Proposition 3.11] but without a proof. A complete proof is given in [28, Theorem 1.4.28] and [5, Section 2], and by Roe in [34, Lemma 2.3].

Recall that, given an involution x in a C^* -algebra A , it defines in a canonical way the element $[\frac{1}{2}(x + 1)] \in K_0(A)$. If $n := \dim(X)$ is odd, we obtain the corresponding class $[D_X] := [\frac{1}{2}(\chi(D_X) + 1)] \in K_0(D^*(X)^\Gamma/C^*(Y \subset X))$.

If n is even, we have to use the additional Γ -invariant grading of the spinor bundle $L^2(S) = L^2(S_+) \oplus L^2(S_-)$. D_X and, because χ is an odd function, $\chi(D_X)$ are odd with respect to this decomposition so that we obtain the positive part $\chi(D_X)_+: L^2(S_+) \rightarrow L^2(S_-)$. We choose any isometry $U: L^2(S_-) \rightarrow L^2(S_+)$ covering id_X in the D^* -sense³.

Then $U\chi(D_X)_+$ is a unitary in $D^*(X)^\Gamma/C^*(Y \subset X)^\Gamma$ and therefore represents $[D_X] \in K_1(D^*(X)^\Gamma/C^*(Y \subset X)^\Gamma)$.

1.12 Definition. Let (X, g) be a complete Riemannian spin manifold of dimension $n > 0$ with isometric free action of Γ . Define

$$\text{Ind}^{\text{coarse}}(D_X) := \partial([D_X]) \in K_n(C^*(X)^\Gamma).$$

Here ∂ is the boundary map of the long exact sequence of the extension $0 \rightarrow C^*(X)^\Gamma \rightarrow D^*(X)^\Gamma \rightarrow D^*(X)^\Gamma/C^*(X)^\Gamma \rightarrow 0$.

1.13 Notation. Unless confusion should arise, we shall often drop the suffix *coarse* and denote the coarse index class simply by $\text{Ind}(D_X)$.

Observe that, if we have uniformly positive scalar curvature outside of Y , we have a canonical lift to

$$\text{Ind}^{\text{rel}}(D_X) := \partial([D_X]) \in K_n(C^*(Y \subset X)^\Gamma).$$

Finally, if we have uniformly positive scalar curvature throughout, we define as secondary invariant

$$\rho(g) := [D_X] \in K_{n+1}(D^*(X)^\Gamma).$$

³In [33], it is only required that U covers id_X . However, as pointed out by Ulrich Bunke, to make sure that $U\chi(D_X)_+ \in D^*(X)^\Gamma$ one needs the stronger assumption.

If X/Γ is compact, there is the canonical map to $K_{n+1}(D_\Gamma^*)$ of Definition 1.10 and we define $\rho_\Gamma(g) \in K_{n+1}(D_\Gamma^*)$ as the image of $\rho(g)$ under this map:

$$\rho_\Gamma(g) := u_*(\rho(g)) \in K_{n+1}(D_\Gamma^*). \quad (1.14)$$

Notice that the ρ -class is well defined whenever the Dirac operator D_X is L^2 -invertible; we denote it $\rho(D_X)$ in this more general case. In fact, we will sometime employ this notation also for the spin Dirac operator associated to a positive scalar curvature metric.

1.3 Delocalized APS-index theorem

1.15 Geometric set-up. We consider now the situation that (W, g_W) is a Riemannian spin manifold with boundary of dimension $n > 0$, complete as metric space⁴. We denote its boundary (M, g_M) , and we assume always that we have product structures near the boundary. We assume that the scalar curvature of g_M is uniformly positive, and that Γ acts freely, isometrically and cocompactly on W and therefore also on M . We denote the quotient of (W, g_W) by the action of Γ as (Y, g_Y) , a compact Riemannian manifold with boundary. Associated to these data is $W_\infty = W \cup_M M \times [0, \infty)$ with extended product structure on the cylinder. This defines a complete Riemannian metric g on W_∞ and we then have uniformly positive scalar curvature outside $W \subset W_\infty$.

End of Geometric set-up.

The considerations of the previous subsection apply now to the pair $(W \subset W_\infty)$ and we obtain therefore a class $\text{Ind}^{\text{rel}}(D_{W_\infty}) \in K_n(C^*(W \subset W_\infty)^\Gamma)$ and thus a class

$$\text{Ind}(D_W) := c_*^{-1} \text{Ind}^{\text{rel}}(D_{W_\infty}) \in K_n(C^*(W)^\Gamma). \quad (1.16)$$

Here we use the canonical inclusion $c: C^*(W)^\Gamma \rightarrow C^*(W \subset W_\infty)^\Gamma$ which induces an isomorphism in K-theory by Lemma 3.2 below.

Let us remark here that, under the canonical isomorphism $K_n(C^*(W)^\Gamma) \cong K_n(C_r^*\Gamma)$, this index class corresponds to any of the other APS-indices for manifolds with boundary defined in this context, e.g. using the Mishchenko-Fomenko approach and the b-calculus or using APS-boundary conditions, compare Section 2.

The passage from C^*X to D^*X corresponds to the passage to the delocalized part of the index information (we will explain this later). This delocalized part we can compute by a K-theoretic version of the APS-index theorem:

1.17 Theorem (Delocalized APS-index theorem). *Let (W, g_W) be an even dimensional Riemannian spin-manifold with boundary ∂W such that $g_{\partial W}$ has positive scalar curvature. Assume that Γ acts freely isometrically and W/Γ is compact. Then*

$$\iota_*(\text{Ind}(D_W)) = j_*(\rho(g_{\partial W})) \quad \text{in} \quad K_0(D^*(W)^\Gamma). \quad (1.18)$$

Here, we use $j: D^*(\partial W)^\Gamma \rightarrow D^*(W)^\Gamma$ induced by the inclusion $\partial W \rightarrow W$ and $\iota: C^*(W)^\Gamma \rightarrow D^*(W)^\Gamma$ the inclusion.

1.19 Corollary. *By functoriality, using the canonical Γ -map $u: W \rightarrow E\Gamma$ of Definition 1.10, we have*

$$\iota_* u_*(\text{Ind}(D_W)) = \rho_\Gamma(g_{\partial W}) \quad \text{in} \quad K_0(D_\Gamma^*).$$

If we define

$$\text{Ind}_\Gamma(D_W) := u_*(\text{Ind}(D_W)) \quad \text{in} \quad K_0(C_\Gamma^*), \quad (1.20)$$

then the last equation reads

$$\iota_*(\text{Ind}_\Gamma(D_W)) = \rho_\Gamma(g_{\partial W}) \quad \text{in} \quad K_0(D_\Gamma^*). \quad (1.21)$$

⁴i.e. every Cauchy sequence converges

This gives immediately bordism invariance of the ρ -classes:

1.22 Corollary. *Let (M_1, g_1) and (M_2, g_2) be two free cocompact spin Γ -manifolds of positive scalar curvature. Assume that they are bordant as manifolds with positive scalar curvature, i.e. that there is a Riemannian spin manifold (W, g) with free cocompact Γ -action such that $\partial W = M_1 \amalg -M_2$ and such that g has positive scalar curvature. Then*

$$\rho_\Gamma(g_1) = \rho_\Gamma(g_2) \in K_n(D_\Gamma^*).$$

Proof. The rho-class is additive for disjoint union and changes sign if one reverses the spin structure. Because W and W_∞ have uniformly positive scalar curvature, $\text{Ind}(D_W) = 0$. The assertion now follows directly from Corollary 1.19. $\square$

1.23 Remark. Of course, the theorem should also be correct if $\dim(W)$ is odd. In the present paper we only deal with the even case; we plan to address the odd case in future work jointly with Vadim Alexeev.

1.24 Remark. As we shall see, Theorem 1.17 has a surprisingly intricate proof. A different approach for proving it would be to develop a theory for the Calderon projection P associated to a Dirac-type operator on a Galois covering with boundary. In this direction, recall the classical formula for the APS numeric index in terms of the Calderon projection P and the APS projection $\Pi_\geq$: $\text{ind}^{\text{APS}} D^+ = \text{tr}(\Pi_\geq, P)$. If one were able to extend this formula to the APS-index class, then the theorem would follow provided one could establish, in addition, that the class of the Calderon projector $[P]$ in $K_0(D_\Gamma^*)$ vanishes. It would be very interesting to work out this alternative approach to Theorem 1.17, which seems to be, however, quite an intricate question.

1.25 Example. The morphisms $C^*(M)^\Gamma \rightarrow C^*(W)^\Gamma \rightarrow C^*(W \subset W_\infty)^\Gamma$ induce (canonical) isomorphisms in K-theory by Lemma 3.2 and because $M \rightarrow W$ is a coarse equivalence, as W/Γ is compact. Consequently we can map $\text{Ind}(D_W)$ also to $K_*(D^*(M)^\Gamma)$ and compare its image there to $\rho(g_M)$.

It turns out that in general these two objects are different, so that a corresponding sharpening of Theorem 1.17 is not possible. Indeed, an additional secondary term, a rho-class of a bordism, shows up. This secondary class appears naturally when one gives a proof of bordism invariance of the rho-index using suitable exact sequences of K-theory of Roe algebras and the principle that “boundary of Dirac is Dirac”. We plan to work this out in a sequel publication.

Explicitly, take $W = D^{n+1}$ with $\partial W = S^n$, with the standard metrics (slightly modified to have product structure near the boundary, but clearly with positive scalar curvature as long as $n > 1$).

Because of overall positive scalar curvature, $\text{Ind}(D_W) \in K_*(C^*(W)) = K_*(\mathbb{C})$ vanishes, and so does its image in $K_*(D^*(S^n))$.

On the other hand, the Dirac operator on S^n represents the fundamental class, a non-trivial element in $K_n(S^n)$. By the commutativity of the diagram (1.32), another main theorem of this paper, $\rho(g_{S^n}) \in K_n(D^*(S^n))$ has to be non-trivial, being mapped to a non-trivial element in $K_n(D^*(S^n)/C^*(S^n)) = K_n(S^n)$. Observe that this is a purely topological phenomenon, having nothing to do with analysis.

1.4 Secondary index theorem for ρ -classes on partitioned manifolds

In this section, we formulate a partitioned manifold secondary index theorem, for the ρ -class on a manifold of uniformly positive scalar curvature.

For this aim, let W be a (non-compact) Riemannian spin manifold of dimension $n + 1$ with isometric free Γ -action and assume that there is a Γ -invariant two-sided hypersurface $M \subset W$ such that M/Γ is compact. We get a decomposition $W = W_- \cup_M W_+$.

Let us quickly recall the primary partitioned manifold index theorem. The classical case is $\Gamma = \{1\}$, then we obtain $\text{Ind}(D_W) \in K_{n+1}(C^*(W))$. The partition allows to construct a map (showing up in a corresponding Mayer-Vietoris sequence as in Section 3.3) to $K_n(C^*(M)) = K_n(\mathbb{C})$. The partitioned manifold theorem of Roe [31] then simply states that the image of $\text{Ind}(D_W)$ under this map is $\text{ind}(D_M)$. The corresponding statement for non-trivial Γ and even n is covered in [40].

We now treat the same question for the secondary rho class of manifolds with uniformly positive scalar curvature. Indeed, let us first give a direct definition of the partitioned manifold rho-class, similar to the definition of the partitioned manifold index as given by Higson [6].

1.26 Definition. Assume, in the above situation, that W has dimension $n+1$ and uniformly positive scalar curvature. Then, using a suitable chopping function, we constructed $[D_W] \in K_{n+2}(D^*(W)^\Gamma)$. Consider the image of $[D_W]$ under the Mayer-Vietoris boundary map: $\delta_{\text{MV}}[D_W] \in K_{n+1}(D^*(M \subset W)^\Gamma)$. The (signed) distance to $\mathbb{R}$ defines the map $f: W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$; in addition, we have the universal Γ -map $u: W \rightarrow E\Gamma$, see Definition 1.10, and we assume that these combine to an (automatically coarse) map $f \times u: W \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times E\Gamma'$, where $E\Gamma'$ is a Γ -finite subcomplex of $E\Gamma$. They induce

$$(f \times u)_*: K_*(D^*(M \subset W)^\Gamma) \rightarrow K_*(D^*(\{0\} \times E\Gamma' \subset \mathbb{R} \times E\Gamma)^\Gamma)$$

which together with the canonical map

$$K_*(D^*(\{0\} \times E\Gamma' \subset \mathbb{R} \times E\Gamma)^\Gamma) \xleftarrow{\cong} K_*(D^*(E\Gamma')^\Gamma) \rightarrow K_*(D_\Gamma^*)$$

gives a homomorphism $\Phi: K_{n+1}(D^*(M \subset W)^\Gamma) \rightarrow K_{n+1}(D_\Gamma^*)$. Then we set

$$\rho_\Gamma^{\text{pm}}(g) := \Phi(\delta_{\text{MV}}[D_W]) \in K_{n+1}(D_\Gamma^*).$$

1.27 Theorem. *Let (W, g) be a connected spin manifold partitioned by a hypersurface M into $W_- \cup_M W_+$. Let Γ act freely on (W, M) and assume that the resulting map $W \rightarrow E\Gamma$ can be chosen to map to a Γ -finite subcomplex $E\Gamma'$. Let $\dim(W) = n+1$ be even. Assume that the metric g on W has uniformly positive scalar curvature and that the metric on a tubular neighborhood of the hypersurface M has product structure, so that the induced metric g_M also has positive scalar curvature. Assume, finally, that M/Γ is compact. Then*

$$\rho_\Gamma^{\text{pm}}(g) = i_*\rho(g_M) := \rho_\Gamma(g_M) \in K_{n+1}(D_\Gamma^*),$$

where $i_*: K_*(D^*(M)^\Gamma) \rightarrow K_*(D_\Gamma^*)$ is the canonical map induced by $W \rightarrow E\Gamma'$.

1.28 Remark. The assertion of Theorem 1.27 should hold if $\dim(W)$ is odd. Again, we plan to study this in a sequel publication.

The strategy of proof for this ρ -version of the partitioned manifold index theorem is the same as the classical one:

- (1) we prove it with an explicit calculation for the product case in Section 4.3.
- (2) we prove that the partitioned manifold rho-class depends only on a small neighborhood of the hypersurface.

1.5 Mapping the positive scalar curvature sequence to analysis

The Stolz exact sequence is the companion for positive scalar curvature of the surgery exact sequence in topology. The latter connects the structure set, consisting of all manifold structures in a given homotopy type, with the generalized homology theory given by the L-theory spectrum and the algebraic L-groups of the fundamental group.

Similarly, Stolz' sequence connects the “structure set” Pos^{spin} (precise Definition given below), which contains the equivalence classes of metrics of positive scalar curvature we want to understand to the generalized homology group Ω^{spin} and to $R^{\text{spin}}(X)$. The latter indeed is a group which only depends on the fundamental group of X . It is similar to the geometric definition of L-groups. Missing until now is an algebraic and computable description of these R -groups, in contrast to the L -groups of surgery.

In this subsection, we construct a map from the Stolz positive scalar curvature exact sequence to analysis. We give a picture which describes the transformation as directly as possible, using indices defined via coarse geometry where appropriate. In the sequel, by a spin manifold we mean a spinnable manifold with a given spin structure. First we recall the relevant definitions.

1.29 Definition. Given a reference space X (often $X = B\Gamma$), define

- $\text{Pos}_n^{\text{spin}}(X)$ as the set of singular bordism classes $(M, f: M \rightarrow X, g)$ of n -dimensional closed spin manifolds M together with a reference map f and a positive scalar curvature metric g on M . A bordism between $(M, f: M \rightarrow X, g)$ and $(M', f': M' \rightarrow X, g')$ consists of a manifold with boundary W , with $\partial W = M \sqcup (-M')$, a reference map $F: W \rightarrow X$ restricting to f and f' on the boundary and a positive scalar curvature metric on W which has product structure near the boundary and restricts to g and g' on the boundary.
- $R_{n+1}^{\text{spin}}(X)$ as the set of bordism classes (W, f, g) where W is a compact $(n+1)$ -dimensional spin-manifold, possibly with boundary, with a reference map $f: W \rightarrow X$, and with a positive scalar curvature metric on the boundary when the latter is non-empty. Two triples $(W, f, g_{\partial W})$, $(W', f', g'_{\partial W})$ are bordant if there is a bordism with positive scalar curvature between the two boundaries, call it N , such that

$$Y := W \cup_{\partial W} N \cup_{-\partial W'} (-W')$$

is the boundary of a spin manifold Z . There are also obvious reference maps involved but since they are obvious we shall not be too precise about them. By the surgery method for the construction of positive scalar curvature metrics, this set actually depends only on the fundamental group of X (where we assume that X is connected).

- $\Omega_n^{\text{spin}}(X)$ is the usual singular spin bordism group of X .

1.30 Proposition. *It is not difficult to prove that one gets a long exact sequence, the Stolz exact sequence*

$$\rightarrow \text{Pos}_n^{\text{spin}}(X) \rightarrow \Omega_n^{\text{spin}}(X) \rightarrow R_n^{\text{spin}}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pos}_{n-1}^{\text{spin}}(X) \rightarrow$$

with the obvious boundary or forgetful maps.

1.31 Theorem. *There exists a well defined and commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \longrightarrow & \Omega_{n+1}^{\text{spin}}(B\Gamma) & \longrightarrow & R_{n+1}^{\text{spin}}(B\Gamma) & \longrightarrow & \text{Pos}_n^{\text{spin}}(B\Gamma) & \longrightarrow & \Omega_n^{\text{spin}}(B\Gamma) & \longrightarrow \\ & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \text{Ind}_\Gamma & & \downarrow \rho_\Gamma & & \downarrow \beta & \\ \longrightarrow & K_{n+1}(B\Gamma) & \longrightarrow & K_{n+1}(C_r^*\Gamma) & \longrightarrow & K_{n+1}((D_\Gamma^*)) & \longrightarrow & K_n(B\Gamma) & \longrightarrow \end{array} \quad (1.32)$$

1.33 Remark. In their seminal papers [13, 12, 11] Higson and Roe carry out a program similar to the one developed here: they construct a map from the surgery exact sequence in topology to exactly the same K-theory exact sequence showing up in (1.32). Their construction is not quite as analytic as ours: it is not based on the index of the signature operator but rather on the manipulation of Poincaré duality complexes. It is an interesting problem to obtain a direct analogue of our index theoretic construction for the surgery exact sequence in topology; we plan to tackle this problem in the near future. Notice that the analysis is going to be slightly more difficult than the one developed here, given that the signature operator attached to an element of the structure set will not be invertible; similarly, the boundary-signature operator attached to an element of the L-groups of the fundamental group will not be invertible. Still, one can use homotopy equivalences built in the definition of the L-groups and the structure set in order to obtain a smoothing perturbation which makes the signature operator invertible, as in [29].

1.34 Remark. Beyond the extension of the method to the surgery exact sequence, a second goal for future work is to continue and map further from the K-theory exact sequence of (1.32) to a suitable exact sequence in cyclic (co)homology which should then allow to obtain systematically numerical higher invariants. To achieve this, one has to overcome further analytic difficulties as the algebra D^*X is too large to allow for easy constructions of (higher) traces on it. Higson and Roe's [14] carries out a small part of this program. They check that the pairing with the trace coming from a virtual representation of dimension zero (which

gives rise to the Atiyah-Patodi-Singer rho invariant) is compatible with the K-theory exact sequence. It turns out that their construction of the relevant map on $K_*(D^*X)$ is very delicate. In [37] Wahl extends this to some more refined invariants, but working directly with the surgery exact sequences and its specific properties and, more importantly, mapping directly to cyclic homology, or rather noncommutative de-Rham homology, as it was done in [21] for the Stolz' sequence.

We now describe the structure of the rest of the paper. In Section 2 we review several alternative and previously used definitions of higher indices, in particular for manifolds with boundary, and check that they coincide with approach via coarse C^* -algebras which we have described above (in the contexts where this makes sense). This puts “coarse index theory” in the context of usual index theory and allows us to use a few known properties of indices (like bordism invariance and gluing formulas) without having to prove them again in the coarse setting. In Section 3 we will work out basic properties of the K-theory of coarse C^* -algebras which we use in the course of the proofs. Section 4 finally is devoted to the proofs of the main Theorems 1.27 and 1.31, implementing the program set out above.

Acknowledgements. We thank John Roe for useful discussions in an early stage of this project. Thomas Schick thanks Sapienza Università di Roma for a three month guest professorship during which the most important steps of this project could be carried out. Paolo Piazza thanks the Mathematisches Institut and the Courant Center “Higher order structures in mathematics” for their hospitality for several week-long visits to Göttingen in the initial phase of the project.

2 Coarse, b - and APS index classes

The goal of this section is to give alternative descriptions of the relative coarse index class $\text{Ind}^{\text{rel}}(D_{W_\infty}) \in K_n(C^*(W \subset W_\infty)^\Gamma)$, $n = \dim W$, connecting it with classes that have already been defined in the literature. We also explain why we look at our index theorem as a delocalized APS index theorem.

2.1 Index classes in the closed case

First of all we tackle the analogous problem in the boundaryless case. Thus, let V be a complete spin⁵ Riemannian manifold with a free, isometric, cocompact spin structure preserving action of Γ . We denote the quotient V/Γ , a spin compact manifold without boundary, by Z ; we thus get a Galois Γ -covering $V \xrightarrow{\pi} Z$. We denote the spinor bundles on V and Z by S_V and S_Z respectively; S_V is Γ -equivariant and S_Z is obtained from S_V by passing to the quotient. There are five C^* -algebras which we consider:

- $C^*(V)^\Gamma$, the Roe's algebra we have defined in subsection 1.2;
- the C^* -algebra $C^*(G)$ defined by the groupoid G associated to the Γ -covering $\Gamma - V \rightarrow Z$; this is the groupoid with set of arrows $V \times_\Gamma V$, units Z and source and range maps defined by $s[v, v'] = \pi(v')$ and $r[v, v'] = \pi(v)$. We can also consider the Morita equivalent C^* -algebra $C^*(G, S_V)$, which is defined by taking the closure of the algebra of smooth integral kernels $C_c^\infty(G, (s^*S_Z)^* \otimes r^*S_Z)$;
- the C^* -algebra of compact operators $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{E})$ of the $C_r^*\Gamma$ -Hilbert module $\mathcal{E}$ defined by taking the closure of the pre-Hilbert $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$ -module $C_c^\infty(V, S_V)$;
- the C^* -algebra of compact operators $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{E}_{\text{MF}})$ of the Mishchenko-Fomenko $C_r^*\Gamma$ -Hilbert module $\mathcal{E}_{\text{MF}}$ which is, by definition, $L^2(Z, S_Z \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\text{MF}})$; here $\mathcal{V}_{\text{MF}}$ denotes the Mishchenko bundle: $\mathcal{V}_{\text{MF}} := V \times_\Gamma C_r^*\Gamma$. We can also consider $\mathcal{E}_{\text{MF}}^1 := H^1(Z, S_Z \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\text{MF}})$, the first Sobolev $C_r^*\Gamma$ -module.
- the reduced C^* -algebra of the group Γ : $C_r^*\Gamma$.

⁵our arguments will apply to any Dirac-type operator

The relationships between these C^* -algebras are as follows:

$$C^*(V)^\Gamma = C^*(G, S) \simeq \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{E}) \simeq \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{E}_{\text{MF}}) \simeq \mathcal{K} \otimes C_r^*\Gamma. \quad (2.1)$$

where all the isomorphisms are canonical. The first equality, with $L^2(V, S_V)$ chosen as Hilbert $C_0(V)$ -module for $C^*(V)^\Gamma$, follows from the inclusion

$$C_c^\infty(G, (s^*S_Z)^* \otimes r^*S_Z) \subset C_c(V)^\Gamma.$$

The second isomorphism is a special case of the corresponding result for foliated bundles in [26], and was well known before. The third isomorphism is induced by a canonical isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$ -modules, $\psi: C_c^\infty(V, S_V) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(V, S_V \otimes \mathbb{C}\Gamma)^\Gamma \equiv C^\infty(Z, S_Z \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\text{alg}})$ with $\mathcal{V}_{\text{alg}} := V \times_\Gamma \mathbb{C}\Gamma$, see [23, Proposition 5] (it will suffice to replace $\mathcal{B}^\omega$ there with $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$). The last isomorphism is a consequence of the fact that $L^2(Z, S_Z \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\text{MF}})$ is isomorphic to the standard C_r^* -Hilbert module $\mathcal{H}_{C_r^*\Gamma}$.

From (2.1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} K_*(C^*(V)^\Gamma) &= K_*(C^*(G, S)) \simeq K_*(\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{E})) \simeq K_*(\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{E}_{\text{MF}})) \\ &\simeq K_*(\mathcal{K} \otimes C_r^*\Gamma) \simeq K_*(C_r^*\Gamma) \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where all the isomorphisms are canonical.

The K-theory of these C^* -algebras are the home of different equivalent definition of the index class associated to the Dirac operator D_V . Let us recall these definitions in the even dimensional case:

- the coarse index class $\text{Ind}^{\text{coarse}}(D_V) \in K_0(C^*(V)^\Gamma)$ of Definition 1.12;
- the Connes-Skandalis index class $\text{Ind}^{\text{CS}}(D_V) \in K_0(C^*(G, S_V))$ defined via the Connes-Skandalis projector associated to a parametrix Q for D_V . Thus, we first choose Q , a Γ -compactly supported pseudodifferential operator of order (-1) , so that

$$QD_V^+ = \text{Id} - S_+, \quad D_V^+Q = \text{Id} - S_- \quad (2.3)$$

with remainders S_- and S_+ that are in $C_c^\infty(G, (s^*S_Z^\pm)^* \otimes r^*S_Z^\pm)$; then we consider $\text{Ind}^{\text{CS}}(D_V) := [P_Q] - [e_1] \in K_0(C^*(G, S_V))$, with

$$P_Q := \begin{pmatrix} S_+^2 & S_+(I + S_+)Q \\ S_-D_V^+ & I - S_-^2 \end{pmatrix}; \quad e_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.4)$$

Here we have in fact defined the compactly supported index class $\text{Ind}_c^{\text{CS}}(D_V) \in K_0(C_c^\infty(G, (s^*S_Z)^* \otimes r^*S_Z))$; the C^* -index class is the image of this class by the K-theory homomorphism induced by the inclusion $C_c^\infty(G, (s^*S_Z)^* \otimes r^*S_Z) \hookrightarrow C^*(G, S_V)$. There are other equivalent descriptions of this C^* -index class, such as the one defined by the Wassermann projector or the graph projector; these are obtained from parametrices that are of Sobolev order (-1) but are not compactly supported. See [4, p. 356] for the Wassermann projector and [26, Section 8] for the graph projector.

- the analogous index class $\text{Ind}_{\text{MF}}^{\text{CS}}(D_V) \in K_0(\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{E}_{\text{MF}}))$, defined via a Mishchenko-Fomenko parametrix $\mathcal{Q}$ for $\mathcal{D}^+$, with $\mathcal{D}^+$ equal to the Dirac operator on Z twisted by $\mathcal{V}_{\text{MF}}$;
- the Mishchenko-Fomenko index class $\text{Ind}_{\text{MF}}(D_V) := [L_+] - [L_-] \in K_0(C_r^*\Gamma)$, defined via a Mishchenko-Fomenko decomposition induced by $\mathcal{D}^+$ on $\mathcal{E}_{\text{MF}}^1$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\text{MF}}$;

2.5 Proposition. *Up to canonical K-theory isomorphisms we have:*

$$\text{Ind}^{\text{coarse}}(D_V) = \text{Ind}^{\text{CS}}(D_V) = \text{Ind}_{\text{MF}}^{\text{CS}}(D_V) = \text{Ind}_{\text{MF}}(D_V). \quad (2.6)$$

Proof. The equality $\text{Ind}^{\text{CS}}(D_V) = \text{Ind}_{\text{MF}}^{\text{CS}}(D_V)$ follows from the second and third isomorphism in (2.1). A detailed proof appears in [4, Lemma 6.1], where it is actually proved that $\text{Ind}_{\text{MF}}^{\text{CS}}(D_V)$ is equal to the image of the compactly supported index class $\text{Ind}_c^{\text{CS}}(D_V)$ under the K-theory homomorphism induced by the inclusion $C_c^\infty(G, (s^*S_Z)^* \otimes r^*S_Z) \hookrightarrow C^*(G, S_V)$.

For the other equalities we make a preliminary remark. It is clear that the Connes-Skandalis index class is equal to Connes-Skandalis index class of the bounded transform of the (closure of) the Dirac operator D_V . This is true for general principles but can also be checked directly: consider $A = (1 + D_V^2)^{-1/2}D_V$ and $B = (1 + D_V^- D_V^+)^{1/2}Q$, which will be written shortly as $(1 + D_V^2)^{1/2}Q$. Then $A^+B = \text{Id} - R_-$ and $BA^+ = \text{Id} - R_+$, with $R_- = S_-$ and $R_+ = (1 + D_V^2)^{1/2}S_+(1 + D_V^2)^{-1/2}$. We can now write the Connes-Skandalis projector associated to A^+ , B and $R_\pm$ and we call it P_B . This is homotopic to the Connes-Skandalis projector (2.4) (just consider $(1 + sD_V^2)^{\pm 1/2}$, with $s \in [0, 1]$, throughout). Moreover, the K-theory class defined by P_B is nothing but $\partial[A^+]$, the index class associated to A^+ via the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{E})/\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow 0$. Now, the same remark applies to $\text{Ind}_{\text{MF}}^{\text{CS}}(D_V)$ but for the isomorphic short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{E}_{\text{MF}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{E}_{\text{MF}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{E}_{\text{MF}})/\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{E}_{\text{MF}}) \rightarrow 0$; we can now invoke the results in [38, Section 17], stating the equality of the latter index with the Mishchenko-Fomenko index of $(1 + \mathcal{D}^2)^{-1/2}\mathcal{D}^+$. Using the Mishchenko-Fomenko calculus the latter is easily seen to be the same as the Mishchenko-Fomenko index of $\mathcal{D}^+$, see for example [35, Theorem 6.22] for the details.

Summarizing: we have also proved that $\text{Ind}_{\text{MF}}^{\text{CS}}(D_V) = \text{Ind}_{\text{MF}}(D_V)$. It remains to show that $\text{Ind}^{\text{coarse}}(D_V) = \text{Ind}^{\text{CS}}(D_V)$. To this end we start with the expression of the Connes-Skandalis index class in terms of A^+ , B , $R_\pm$:

$$\text{Ind}^{\text{CS}}(D_V) = [P_B] - [e_1] \quad \text{with} \quad P_B = \begin{pmatrix} R_+^2 & R_+(I + R_+)B \\ R_-A^+ & I - R_-^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consider now the function $\chi(x) := x/\sqrt{1+x^2}$; this is a chopping function (so, it can be used to define the coarse index) and $\chi(D_V) = A$. The inverse of U^*A^+ in $D^*(V)^\Gamma/C^*(V)^\Gamma$ can be taken to be BU : we observe that

$$(BU)(U^*A^+) = \text{Id} - R_+; \quad (U^*A^+)(BU) = U^*(\text{Id} - R_-)U.$$

We now write the expression of $\partial(U^*A^+)$ in terms of these choices and we get

$$\text{Ind}^{\text{coarse}}(D_V) = [\Pi_B] - [e_1] \quad \text{with} \quad \Pi_B = \begin{pmatrix} R_+^2 & R_+(I + R_+)BU \\ U^*R_-A^+ & U^*(I - R_-^2)U \end{pmatrix}.$$

Observing now that

$$\Pi_B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & U^* \end{pmatrix} P_B \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & U \end{pmatrix}$$

we conclude that $\text{Ind}^{\text{coarse}}(D_V) = \text{Ind}^{\text{CS}}(D_V)$, as required. $\square$

2.2 Index classes on manifolds with boundary

We now pass to manifolds with boundary and we adopt the notation explained in the geometric set-up 1.15. We remark that the complete Riemannian spin manifold (W_∞, g) is in a natural way a Γ -covering of Y_∞ , the manifold with cylindrical end associated to Y . We consider the groupoid $G_\infty := W_\infty \times_\Gamma W_\infty$ and the associated C^* -algebra $C^*(G_\infty)$, obtained by taking the closure of $C_c^\infty(G_\infty)$. Similarly, we can consider $C^*(G_\infty, S)$ with S the spinor bundle of W_∞ . Then, as before

$$C^*(W \subset W_\infty)^\Gamma = C^*(G_\infty, S) \tag{2.7}$$

2.8 Proposition. *Assume that W has dimension $n = 2\ell$ and that the boundary has positive scalar curvature. Then, using a b -parametrix, there is a well defined b -index class associated to the spin Dirac operator on W_∞ :*

$$\text{Ind}^b(D_{W_\infty}) \in K_0(C^*(W \subset W_\infty)^\Gamma).$$

Proof. This class is, by definition, the Connes-Skandalis projector associated to a suitable parametrix. We take the parametrix construction explained in [22], [27] (which is directly inspired by the b -parametrix construction of Melrose [25]). The parametrix in [27] is explicitly proved to have remainders in $C^*(G_\infty, S)$, thus, by (2.7), in $C^*(W \subset W_\infty)^\Gamma$. $\square$

Together with this b -index class we consider other K-theory classes:

- the Mishchenko-Fomenko b -index class $\text{Ind}_{\text{MF}}^b(D_{W_\infty}) \in K_0(C_r^*\Gamma)$, obtained from a Mishchenko-Fomenko decomposition theorem induced by a b -parametrix in the b -Mishchenko-Fomenko calculus on Y_∞ , see [19] and the Appendix of [20];
- the index class $\text{Ind}_{\text{MF}}^{\text{APS}}(D_W) \in K_0(C_r^*\Gamma)$ defined by a Mishchenko-Fomenko boundary value problem on Y à la Atiyah-Patodi-Singer, see [39];
- the conic index class $\text{Ind}_{\text{MF}}^{\text{conic}}(D_W) \in K_0(C_r^*\Gamma)$ defined by a Mishchenko-Fomenko conic parametrix, see [20].

2.9 Remark. Each one of these index classes has interesting features: $\text{Ind}_{\text{MF}}^b(D_{W_\infty})$ is the most suitable for proving higher index formulas (see the next subsection); the conic index class displays the most interesting stability properties (see [18], where these two properties are used in order to define higher signatures on manifolds with boundary and proving, under additional assumptions on Γ , their homotopy invariance). The APS index class, on the other hand, makes the study of gluing and cut-and-paste problems particularly easy.

2.10 Proposition. *Up to natural K-theory isomorphisms the following equalities hold:*

$$\text{Ind}^{\text{rel}}(D_{W_\infty}) = \text{Ind}^b(D_{W_\infty}) = \text{Ind}_{\text{MF}}^b(D_{W_\infty}) = \text{Ind}_{\text{MF}}^{\text{APS}}(D_W) = \text{Ind}_{\text{MF}}^{\text{conic}}(D_W)$$

Proof. The equalities $\text{Ind}_{\text{MF}}^b(D_{W_\infty}) = \text{Ind}_{\text{MF}}^{\text{APS}}(D_W) = \text{Ind}_{\text{MF}}^{\text{conic}}(D_W)$ are proved in [18] (the case treated here, with full invertibility of the boundary operator, is actually simpler than the one discussed in [18]). The proof that $\text{Ind}^b(D_{W_\infty}) = \text{Ind}_{\text{MF}}^b(D_{W_\infty})$ is as in the closed case (hence, using the results in [38]). Thus we only need to prove the first equality: $\text{Ind}^{\text{rel}}(D_{W_\infty}) = \text{Ind}^b(D_{W_\infty})$. However, this follows once again from the reasoning given in the proof of Proposition 2.5: indeed, the relative-coarse index class is defined in terms of $U^*\chi(D_V)_+$, with χ a chopping function equal to one on the spectrum of the boundary operator. We have claimed that $\chi(D)_-U$ is an inverse of $U^*\chi(D_V)_+$ modulo $C^*(W \subset W_\infty)^\Gamma$; now, in order to define $\partial[U^*\chi(D_V)_+]$ we can choose an arbitrary inverse in the quotient. Consider BU , with $B = (1 + D_V^2)^{1/2}Q$ and Q equal to a b -parametrix for D_V^+ as in [27]. It is easy to see, from the expression of Q , that BU is indeed an element in $D^*(W_\infty)^\Gamma$; moreover, since $S_\pm$, the remainders given by Q , are residual terms in the b -calculus, it follows that $R_\pm$ are also residual, so that BU is indeed an inverse of $U^*\chi(D_V)_+ \bmod C^*(G_\infty, S)$, i.e., $\bmod C^*(W \subset W_\infty)^\Gamma$. The proof now proceeds as in the closed case. $\square$

2.3 Delocalizing

The goal of this subsection is to explain why we look at our index formula (1.21)

$$\iota_*(\text{Ind}_\Gamma(D_W)) = \rho_\Gamma(g_{\partial W}) \quad \text{in} \quad K_0(D_\Gamma^*)$$

as an equality between a delocalized part of the index class and the rho-class of the boundary operator. This is not needed in the sequel, but ment to put our considerations in the context of previously established index theorems.

To this end we recall the higher APS-index formula proved in [19, Theorem 14.1]. This is a formula for the Karoubi-Chern character of the index class $\text{Ind}^b(D_{W_\infty})$, an element in the non-commutative de Rham homology $\overline{H}_*(\mathcal{B}^\infty)$ ($\mathcal{B}^\infty$ is a suitable dense holomorphically closed subalgebra of $C_r^*\Gamma$, for example the Connes-Moscovici algebra). The formula reads

$$\text{Ch}(\text{Ind}_{\text{MF}}^b(D_{W_\infty})) = \left[\int_Y \widehat{A}(Y, \nabla^Y) \wedge \omega - \frac{1}{2} \widetilde{\eta}(D_{\partial W}) \right] \quad \text{in} \quad \overline{H}_*(\mathcal{B}^\infty) \quad (2.11)$$

where $Y = W/\Gamma$, ω is a certain bi-form in $\Omega^*(Y) \otimes \Omega_*(\mathbb{C}\Gamma)$ and where

$$\tilde{\eta}(D_{\partial W}) \in \overline{\Omega}_*(\mathcal{B}^\infty) := \Omega_*(\mathcal{B}^\infty) / [\overline{\Omega}_*(\mathcal{B}^\infty), \Omega_*(\mathcal{B}^\infty)]$$

is Lott's higher eta invariant of the boundary operator [24], an invariant which is well defined for any L^2 -invertible Dirac operator D_V on the total space of a boundaryless Galois Γ -covering V with base Z . Assume now that Γ is *virtually nilpotent*. Under this additional assumption, the complex $\overline{\Omega}_*(\mathcal{B}^\infty)$ splits as the direct sum of sub-complexes labeled by the conjugacy classes $\langle \Gamma \rangle$ of Γ . Thus $\tilde{\eta}(D_V)$ splits as a direct sum

$$\tilde{\eta}(D_V) = \bigoplus_{\langle x \rangle \in \langle \Gamma \rangle} \tilde{\eta}_{\langle x \rangle}(D_V).$$

The higher ρ -invariant of Lott [24] associated to D_V is, by definition,

$$\tilde{\rho}(D_V) := \bigoplus_{\langle x \rangle \neq \langle e \rangle} \tilde{\eta}_{\langle x \rangle}(D_V)$$

As pointed out in [24, page 222], the higher ρ -invariant has in fact values in $\overline{H}_*(\mathcal{B}^\infty)$. Notice that $\overline{H}_*(\mathcal{B}^\infty)$ splits as the direct sum $\overline{H}_*(\mathcal{B}^\infty) = \overline{H}_{\langle e \rangle, *}(\mathcal{B}^\infty) \oplus \overline{H}_*^{\text{deloc}}(\mathcal{B}^\infty)$ with the first group on the right hand side associated to the subcomplex of $\overline{\Omega}_*(\mathcal{B}^\infty)$ labeled by the trivial conjugacy class $\langle e \rangle$ and the second group associated to the subcomplexes labeled by the non-trivial conjugacy classes; $\overline{H}_*^{\text{deloc}}(\mathcal{B}^\infty)$ is thus the *delocalized* part of $\overline{H}_*(\mathcal{B}^\infty)$. Then $\tilde{\rho}(D_V)$ has values in $\overline{H}_*^{\text{deloc}}(\mathcal{B}^\infty)$, and more precisely, in $\overline{H}_{\text{even}}^{\text{deloc}}(\mathcal{B}^\infty)$ if V has odd dimension and $\overline{H}_{\text{odd}}^{\text{deloc}}(\mathcal{B}^\infty)$ if V has even dimension. We let $\pi^{\langle e \rangle}$ and π^{deloc} be the natural projection maps. Then on the basis of the higher APS index formula (2.11) one proves easily that

$$\pi^{\text{deloc}}(\text{Ch} \circ \text{Ind}_{MF}^b(D_{W_\infty})) = -\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\rho}(D_{\partial W}). \quad (2.12)$$

Thus we see that Lott's higher rho invariant corresponds to the delocalized part of the Chern character of the index class. We regard our equation $\iota_*(\text{Ind}_\Gamma(D_W)) = \rho_\Gamma(g_{\partial W})$ as a sharpening in K-theory of (2.12).

There are other results in the literature that are of interest to us. Indeed, it is proved in [21] that the higher rho-invariant induces a well-defined group homomorphism

$$\hat{\rho}: \text{Pos}_n^{\text{spin}}(B\Gamma) \rightarrow \overline{H}_*^{\text{deloc}}(\mathcal{B}^\infty) \quad (2.13)$$

with $\hat{\rho}[Z, u: Z \rightarrow B\Gamma, g_Z] = -\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\rho}(D_V)$, $V = u^*E\Gamma$, and $*$ = odd if $n = 2\ell$ and $*$ = even if $n = 2\ell + 1$. Together with (2.12) this shows that the the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R_{n+1}^{\text{spin}}(B\Gamma) & \longrightarrow & \text{Pos}_n^{\text{spin}}(B\Gamma) \\ \downarrow \text{Ch} \circ \text{Ind}_\Gamma & & \downarrow \hat{\rho} \\ \overline{H}_*(\mathcal{B}^\infty) & \longrightarrow & \overline{H}_*^{\text{deloc}}(\mathcal{B}^\infty) \end{array} \quad (2.14)$$

In a future publication we shall tackle the problem of defining a group homomorphism $\text{Ch}_{\text{deloc}}: K_*(D_\Gamma^*) \rightarrow \overline{H}_*^{\text{deloc}}(\mathcal{B}^\infty)$ so that the following double diagram is commutative and the composition of the two vertical arrows on the right hand side is precisely $\hat{\rho}$ in (2.13):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R_{n+1}^{\text{spin}}(B\Gamma) & \longrightarrow & \text{Pos}_n^{\text{spin}}(B\Gamma) \\ \downarrow \text{Ind}_\Gamma & & \downarrow \rho \\ K_{n+1}(C_r^*\Gamma) & \longrightarrow & K_{n+1}((D_\Gamma^*)) \\ \downarrow \text{Ch} & & \downarrow \text{Ch}_{\text{deloc}} \\ \overline{H}_*(\mathcal{B}^\infty) & \longrightarrow & \overline{H}_*^{\text{deloc}}(\mathcal{B}^\infty) \end{array}$$

In fact, we plan to map the whole Higson-Roe surgery sequence to a sequence in non-commutative de Rham homology.

3 K -theory homomorphisms

3.1 Geometrically induced homomorphisms

Let W , W_∞ , $M = \partial W$ as in the Geometric set-up 1.15. First of all we remark that there is a K -theory isomorphism

$$K_*(C^*(\partial W)^\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_*(C^*(W)^\Gamma) \quad (3.1)$$

induced by a Γ -equivariant coarse equivalence between ∂W and W .

Next we have the following Lemma.

3.2 Lemma. *The inclusions $i: W \hookrightarrow W_\infty$ and $M \times \{0\} \hookrightarrow M \times \mathbb{R}$ induce K -theory isomorphisms*

$$K_*(C^*(W)^\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_*(C^*(W \subset W_\infty)^\Gamma). \quad (3.3)$$

$$K_*(D^*(W)^\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_*(D^*(W \subset W_\infty)^\Gamma). \quad (3.4)$$

$$K_*(D^*(M)^\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_*(D^*(M \times \{0\} \subset M \times \mathbb{R})^\Gamma). \quad (3.5)$$

where the last isomorphism holds for any boundaryless manifold M with a free, isometric and cocompact action of Γ .

Proof. We follow [15, Lemma 1]. The first statement is a special case of Lemma 1.9. The situation for D^* is more subtle.

Let W_R be the manifold W with cylinder $[0, R] \times \partial W$ attached. By definition $D^*(W \subset W_\infty)^\Gamma = \lim D^*(W_R)^\Gamma$. On the other hand, both the inclusion $W \hookrightarrow W_R$ and $W_R \hookrightarrow W_S$, with $R < S$, are Γ -equivariant *continuous* coarse homotopy equivalences. Because $K_*(D^*\cdot)$ is invariant under such equivalences and using that K_* is continuous for direct limits of C^* -algebras, we conclude that the isomorphism (3.4) hold. Exactly the same argument applies to (3.5). $\square$

We denote the composition of (3.1) and (3.3) by $\vartheta: K_*(C^*(\partial W)^\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_*(C^*(W \subset W_\infty)^\Gamma)$ and its inverse by $\phi: K_*(C^*(W \subset W_\infty)^\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_*(C^*(\partial W)^\Gamma)$.

We also have a natural map

$$\iota_*: K_*(C^*(W \subset W_\infty)^\Gamma) \longrightarrow K_*(D^*(W \subset W_\infty)^\Gamma),$$

which is induced by the inclusion of $C^*(W \subset W_\infty)^\Gamma$ into $D^*(W \subset W_\infty)^\Gamma$. Similarly, we have a natural map

$$\psi: K_*(C^*(\partial W)^\Gamma) \longrightarrow K_*(D^*(\partial W)^\Gamma).$$

Finally, there is a map $j: K_*(D^*(\partial W)^\Gamma) \rightarrow K_*(D^*(W \subset W_\infty)^\Gamma)$ which is obtained by the composition of the map induced by the inclusion $\partial W \hookrightarrow W$, $K_*(D^*(\partial W)^\Gamma) \rightarrow K_*(D^*(W)^\Gamma)$, and the isomorphism (3.4) $K_*(D^*(W)^\Gamma) \rightarrow K_*(D^*(W \subset W_\infty)^\Gamma)$. We employ these remarks in the next Proposition.

3.6 Proposition. *Assume that Y comes with a reference map s to X , which in turn carries a map r to $B\Gamma$. Let $\tilde{X} := r^*E\Gamma$ and let $W := (r \circ s)^*E\Gamma$. Then we have a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_*(C^*(\tilde{X})^\Gamma) & \longrightarrow & K_*(D^*(\tilde{X})^\Gamma) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ K_*(C^*(W)^\Gamma) & \longrightarrow & K_*(D^*(W)^\Gamma) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ K_*(C^*(W \subset W_\infty)^\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{\iota_*} & K_*(D^*(W \subset W_\infty)^\Gamma) \\ \vartheta \uparrow \cong & & \uparrow j \\ K_*(C^*(\partial W)^\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & K_*(D^*(\partial W)^\Gamma) \end{array} \quad (3.7)$$

Proof. All the maps are induced by inclusions of algebras and therefore the commutativity follows from naturality of K-theory. The other claims in the statement have been already discussed. $\square$

3.2 Kasparov Lemma

The following Lemma, stated for the first time in [17, Prop. 3.4], see also [7, Lemma 7.2], is a rather useful tool in proving pseudolocality.

3.8 Lemma (Kasparov Lemma). *Let H be an adequate X -module. A bounded operator $A: H \rightarrow H$ is pseudo-local if and only if $\psi A \phi$ is compact whenever ψ and ϕ are bounded continuous functions on X such that the supports $\text{supp}(\psi)$ and $\text{supp}(\phi)$ are disjoint and at least one of them is compact.*

If A is a norm limit of operators of bounded propagation, it is sufficient to consider only functions of compact support.

Proof. A proof of the first statement for the case that X is compact is given in [10, 5.4.7]. It directly covers the general case, as well.

The second statement about finite propagation operators is already remarked in a footnote in [7]. To prove it, it suffices (by a limit argument) to assume that A has bounded propagation R . Then, given ϕ, ψ with ϕ of compact support and such that $\phi\psi = 0$, write $\psi = \psi_1 + \psi_2$ such that ψ_1 has compact support and ψ_2 has support of distance R from ϕ . Then by the bounded propagation property, $\phi A \psi_2 = 0$, and by assumption $\phi A \psi_1$ is compact, so also $\phi A \psi$ is compact and the assumptions of the usual form of the Kasparov Lemma are fulfilled. $\square$

3.3 The Mayer-Vietoris sequence

Assume that $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ is a Riemannian manifold (typically non-compact), decomposed into two subsets X_1, X_2 , with $X_0 := X_1 \cap X_2$. (The more general case of metric spaces is treated in exactly the same way.) We make the following **excision assumption**: $X_0 := X_1 \cap X_2$ is big enough in the following sense (of Higson-Roe-Yu [15]): for each $R > 0$ there is $S > 0$ such that $U_R(X_1) \cap U_R(X_2) \subset U_S(X_0)$.

By [15, Lemma 2], $C^*(X_1 \subset X) + C^*(X_2 \subset X) = C^*(X)$ and (using excisiveness) $C^*(X_0 \subset X) = C^*(X_1 \subset X) \cap C^*(X_2 \subset X)$. Consequently,

$$C^*(X_1 \subset X)/C^*(X_0 \subset X) \xrightarrow{\cong} C^*(X)/C^*(X_2 \subset X).$$

This isomorphism can in turn be used to splice the two long exact K-theory sequences for $C^*(X_0 \subset X) \subset C^*(X_1 \subset X)$ and $C^*(X_2 \subset X) \subset C^*(X)$ together, getting in this way the long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow K_0(C^*(X_0 \subset X)) \rightarrow K_0(C^*(X_1 \subset X)) \oplus K_0(C^*(X_2 \subset X)) \\ \rightarrow K_0(C^*(X)) \xrightarrow{\delta_{MV}} K_1(C^*(X_0 \subset X)) \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Exactly the same works for D^* , and for the Γ -equivariant versions.

By Lemma 1.9 $C^*(X_0) \rightarrow C^*(X_0 \subset X)$ induces an isomorphism in K-theory and similarly for $C^*(X_1) \rightarrow C^*(X_1 \subset X)$ and $C^*(X_2) \rightarrow C^*(X_2 \subset X)$. Making use of these K-theory isomorphisms we finally get the expected 6-terms Mayer-Vietoris sequence [15, Section 5]

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow K_0(C^*(X_1 \cap X_2)) \rightarrow K_0(C^*(X_1)) \oplus K_0(C^*(X_2)) \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow K_0(C^*(X)) \xrightarrow{\delta_{MV}} K_1(C^*(X_1 \cap X_2)) \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

3.4 Mayer-Vietoris for the cylinder

Let M be a Galois Γ -cover of a compact manifold Z and consider the cylinder $X := \mathbb{R} \times M$, with Γ acting in a trivial way on $\mathbb{R}$. We set $X_1 = (-\infty, 0] \times M$ and $X_2 = [0, \infty) \times M$ so that $X_0 = \{0\} \times M = M$. This decomposition of the cylinder clearly satisfies the excision axiom; thus we have the long exact sequence for the algebras C^*

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow K_0(C^*(M)^\Gamma) \rightarrow K_0(C^*((-\infty, 0] \times M)^\Gamma) \oplus K_0(C^*([0, \infty) \times M)^\Gamma) \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow K_0(C^*(\mathbb{R} \times M)^\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\delta_{MV}} K_1(C^*(M)^\Gamma) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

In this special situation, the analogous Mayer-Vietoris sequence for the algebras D^* also holds, as

$$D^*(M)^\Gamma \rightarrow D^*(M \subset M \times \mathbb{R})^\Gamma; \quad D^*(M \times [0, \infty))^\Gamma \rightarrow D^*(M \times [0, \infty) \subset M \times \mathbb{R})^\Gamma$$

are K-theory isomorphisms by Lemma 3.2, which one applies to the D^* -version of (3.9).

3.12 Lemma. *For any metric space M with isometric Γ -action*

$$K_*(C^*(M \times [0, \infty))^\Gamma) = 0; \quad K_*(D^*(M \times [0, \infty))^\Gamma) = 0.$$

Proof. This is a special instance of a general principle introduced by Roe: any space of the form $M \times [0, \infty)$ is *flasque* in the sense of [33, Definition 9.3], and then by [33, Proposition 9.4] the K-theory of $C^*(M \times [0, \infty))$ vanishes.

The argument given there, based on an Eilenberg swindle, word by word applies also to the Γ -invariant subalgebras and to D^* . $\square$

In particular, we conclude that the boundary map in the Mayer-Vietoris sequence induces isomorphisms

$$\delta_{MV}: K_{*+1}(C^*(\mathbb{R} \times M)^\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_*(C^*(M)^\Gamma). \quad (3.13)$$

$$\delta_{MV}: K_{*+1}(D^*(\mathbb{R} \times M)^\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_*(D^*(M)^\Gamma). \quad (3.14)$$

For later reference, we now give a more explicit description of δ_{MV} in this situation.

3.15 Remark. The map δ_{MV} of (3.13) and (3.14) is given as follows: take a representative $\alpha \in C^*(\mathbb{R} \times M)^\Gamma$ of the K-theory class, i.e. either a projector or an invertible (using that the algebra is stable). One now has to trace the definition of the splicing argument which gives rise to (3.10): map α to $C^*(M \times \mathbb{R})^\Gamma / C^*(M \times (-\infty, 0] \times M)^\Gamma \subset C^*(M \times \mathbb{R})^\Gamma$. Then we lift it (through the inverse of the natural isomorphism) to $C^*(M \times [0, \infty))^\Gamma / C^*(M \times \{0\} \times M)^\Gamma \subset C^*(M \times [0, \infty))^\Gamma$. For this, let χ_+ be the characteristic function of $M \times [0, \infty)$, then the compression $\chi_+ \alpha \chi_+$ (where χ_+ acts as multiplication operator) is such a lift. That it has the relevant properties follows as in Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 proved below. Finally, $\delta_{MV}(\alpha) = \delta([\chi_+ \alpha \chi_+])$ where δ is the boundary homomorphism of the K-theory long exact sequence for $C^*(M \times \{0\} \times M)^\Gamma \hookrightarrow C^*(M \times [0, \infty))^\Gamma$.

Exactly the parallel construction works for (3.14).

4 Proofs of the main theorems

4.1 Delocalized APS: reduction to the cylinder

We consider W , ∂W and W_∞ as in the geometric set-up 1.15. We also consider

$$\mathbb{R} \times \partial W, \quad \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \partial W, \quad \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0} \times \partial W.$$

More generally, if M is a boundaryless manifold with a free, isometric and cocompact action of Γ , then we shall consider $\mathbb{R} \times M$, $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times M$, $\mathbb{R}_{\leq 0} \times M$. We consider the Dirac operators

$$D \text{ on } W_{\infty}, \quad D_{\partial} \text{ on } \partial W \text{ and } D_{\text{cyl}} \text{ on } \mathbb{R} \times \partial W.$$

Recall that the boundary ∂W is endowed with a metric of positive scalar curvature, so that the coarse index class of D is well defined as an element $\text{Ind}^{\text{rel}}(D) \in K_0(C^*(W \subset W_{\infty})^{\Gamma})$. The PSC assumption implies that D_{cyl} is also L^2 -invertible; hence $\chi(D_{\text{cyl}})$ is also an involution.

Let $\psi_{\mathbb{R}}: \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth function, equal to 0 in 0 and equal to 1 after 1. Denote by ψ and ψ_+ the functions induced by $\psi_{\mathbb{R}}$ on W_{∞} and on $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \partial W$. Consider also the characteristic function $p_{[0, +\infty)}$ of the set $[0, +\infty) \subset \mathbb{R}$ and let us denote by p and p_+ the functions induced by $p_{[0, +\infty)}$ on W_{∞} and on $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \partial W$ respectively.

Consider the operator $\psi_+ \chi(D_{\text{cyl}}) \psi_+$ on $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \partial W$; obviously, this is not an involution any more. Similarly, consider the operator $\psi \chi(D_{\text{cyl}}) \psi$ on W_{∞} , which also fails to be an involution.

4.1 Lemma. $\psi_+ \chi(D_{\text{cyl}}) \psi_+$ is an involution in $D^*(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \partial W)^{\Gamma} / D^*(\partial W \subset \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \partial W)^{\Gamma}$, where we write briefly $D^*(\partial W \subset \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \partial W)^{\Gamma}$ instead of $D^*(\{0\} \times \partial W \subset \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \partial W)^{\Gamma}$. Similarly, $\psi \chi(D_{\text{cyl}}) \psi$ is an involution in $D^*(W_{\infty})^{\Gamma} / D^*(W \subset W_{\infty})^{\Gamma}$.

Proof. We choose χ such that $\chi(D_{\text{cyl}})^2 = 1$, this is possible because 0 is not in the spectrum of D_{cyl} by the positive scalar curvature assumption. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi_+ \chi(D_{\text{cyl}}) \psi_+)^2 &= \psi_+ \chi(D_{\text{cyl}})^2 \psi_+^2 \psi_+ + \psi_+ \chi(D_{\text{cyl}}) [\psi_+^2, \chi(D_{\text{cyl}})] \psi_+ \\ &= 1 + (\psi_+^4 - 1) + \psi_+ \chi(D_{\text{cyl}}) [\psi_+^2, \chi(D_{\text{cyl}})] \psi_+. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that the second and the third operator are both in $D^*(W_{\infty})^{\Gamma}$. Clearly, $\psi_+^4 - 1$ has compact support, so $(\psi_+^4 - 1) \in D^*(\partial W \subset \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \partial W)^{\Gamma}$. Using Lemma 4.2 below, we see easily that also the third summand belongs to $D^*(\partial W \subset \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \partial W)^{\Gamma}$, so the statement follows. The argument for $\psi \chi(D_{\text{cyl}}) \psi$ is exactly the same (applying the analogue of Lemma 4.2 below). $\square$

4.2 Lemma. If $T \in D^*(\mathbb{R} \times \partial W)^{\Gamma}$ and ψ_+ is any function as above, then $[T, \psi_+]$ is in $D^*(\partial W \subset \mathbb{R} \times \partial W)^{\Gamma}$.

Proof. We follow the proof of [33, Lemma 4.3]. We already know that $[T, \psi_+]$ belongs to $D^*(\mathbb{R} \times \partial W)^{\Gamma}$ and we only need to show that lies actually in the ideal $D^*(\partial W \subset \mathbb{R} \times \partial W)^{\Gamma}$. We can assume that T has finite propagation R . Then, outside a sufficiently large neighborhood of the support of ψ_+ , $[T, \psi_+]$ is zero, because there ψ_+ acts like the identity. It follows that it is compactly supported in the $\mathbb{R}$ direction, as desired. $\square$

4.3 Definition. Let $n + 1$, the dimension of W , be even.

Consider the half cylinder $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \partial W$; observe that the spinor bundle is in this case the pull-back of the direct sum of two copies of the spinor bundle on ∂W . Thus, in this case, we could choose U to be the identity, if necessary. Using Lemma 4.1 we can define the class

$$[U^*(\psi_+ \chi(D_{\text{cyl}}) \psi_+)] \in K_1(D^*(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \partial W)^{\Gamma} / D^*(\partial W \subset \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \partial W)^{\Gamma}) \quad (4.4)$$

and thus, applying the boundary map for the obvious 6-terms long exact sequence

$$\partial: K_1(D^*(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \partial W)^{\Gamma} / D^*(\partial W \subset \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \partial W)^{\Gamma}) \rightarrow K_0(D^*(\partial W \subset \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \partial W)^{\Gamma}), \quad (4.5)$$

we obtain a class

$$\partial[U^*(\psi_+ \chi(D_{\text{cyl}}) \psi_+)] \in K_0(D^*(\partial W \subset \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \partial W)^{\Gamma}). \quad (4.6)$$

Similarly, if $n + 1$ is odd then we have a well defined class

$$\partial\left(\frac{1}{2}[1 + \psi_+ \chi(D_{\text{cyl}}) \psi_+]\right) \in K_1(D^*(\partial W \subset \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \partial W)^{\Gamma}) \quad (4.7)$$

4.8 Remark. We can restate Lemma 4.1 in a more conceptual way. Indeed, exactly the same proof establishes the following statements.

If $n + 1$ is even dimensional case, then *compression by χ_+ gives a well defined homomorphism*

$$K_1(D^*(\mathbb{R} \times \partial W)^\Gamma) \rightarrow K_1(D^*(\mathbb{R}_\geq \times \partial W)^\Gamma / D^*(\partial W \subset \mathbb{R}_\geq \times \partial W)^\Gamma)$$

which sends the ρ -class defined by D_{cyl} into the class (4.4).

Therefore, composition of this homomorphism with the boundary map (4.5) gives a homomorphism

$$H: K_1(D^*(\mathbb{R} \times \partial W)^\Gamma) \rightarrow K_0(D^*(\partial W \subset \mathbb{R}_\geq \times \partial W)^\Gamma);$$

further composing with the inverse of the isomorphism

$$K_0(D^*(\partial W)^\Gamma) \rightarrow K_0(D^*(\partial W \subset \mathbb{R}_\geq \times \partial W)^\Gamma)$$

provided by the analogue of Lemma 3.4 we finally obtain a well defined homomorphism:

$$K_1(D^*(\mathbb{R} \times \partial W)^\Gamma) \rightarrow K_0(D^*(\partial W)^\Gamma). \quad (4.9)$$

It is not difficult to show, proceeding exactly as in Remark 3.15, that this is precisely the Mayer-Vietoris homomorphism δ_{MV} we have described in Subsection 3.4; in particular, by the argument given in Subsection 3.4, the homomorphism (4.9) is an isomorphism.

The corresponding statement holds in the odd dimensional case.

Similarly, by the second part of Lemma 4.1, we have, in the even dimensional case,

$$[U^*(\psi \chi(D_{\text{cyl}})_+ \psi)] \in K_1(D^*(W_\infty)^\Gamma / D^*(W \subset W_\infty)^\Gamma)$$

and thus applying the boundary map

$$\partial: K_1(D^*(W_\infty)^\Gamma / D^*(W \subset W_\infty)^\Gamma) \rightarrow K_0(D^*(W \subset W_\infty)^\Gamma)$$

we obtain an element

$$\partial[U^*(\psi \chi(D_{\text{cyl}})_+ \psi)] \in K_0(D^*(W \subset W_\infty)^\Gamma). \quad (4.10)$$

In the odd dimensional case we have a corresponding class

$$\partial\left(\frac{1}{2}[1 + \psi \chi(D_{\text{cyl}}) \psi]\right) \in K_1(D^*(W \subset W_\infty)^\Gamma). \quad (4.11)$$

4.12 Remark. We could repeat word by word the above construction with the characteristic function p instead of its smooth approximation ψ . We observe that we then have $[U^*p\chi(D_{\text{cyl}})_+p] = [U^*\psi\chi(D_{\text{cyl}})_+\psi]$ in $K_1(D^*(W_\infty)^\Gamma / D^*(W \subset W_\infty)^\Gamma)$. Similarly we could repeat the construction with p_+ instead of its smooth approximation ψ_+ ; we would then get $[U^*p_+\chi(D_{\text{cyl}})_+p_+] = [U^*\psi_+\chi(D_{\text{cyl}})_+\psi_+]$ in $K_1(D^*(\mathbb{R}_\geq \times \partial W)^\Gamma / D^*(\partial W \subset \mathbb{R}_\geq \times \partial W)^\Gamma)$. In particular we have the following equality in $K_0(D^*(\partial W \subset \mathbb{R}_\geq \times \partial W)^\Gamma)$:

$$\partial[U^*(\psi_+\chi(D_{\text{cyl}})_+\psi_+)] = \partial[U^*(p_+\chi(D_{\text{cyl}})_+p_+)].$$

4.13 Lemma. $\chi(D) - \psi\chi(D_{\text{cyl}})\psi \in D^*(W \subset W_\infty)^\Gamma$.

Proof. This is a direct consequence of the proof of Proposition 1.5 in [32]. $\square$

4.14 Lemma. Let $\iota_*: K_*(C^*(W \subset W_\infty)^\Gamma) \rightarrow K_*(D^*(W \subset W_\infty)^\Gamma)$ be the homomorphism induced by the inclusion (we considered ι_* in Lemma 3.6). If $n + 1$ is even, then

$$\iota_*(\text{Ind}(D)) = \partial[U^*(\psi \chi(D_{\text{cyl}})_+ \psi)] \text{ in } K_0(D^*(W \subset W_\infty)^\Gamma); \quad (4.15)$$

if $n + 1$ is odd, then

$$\iota_*(\text{Ind}(D)) = \partial\left[\frac{1}{2}(1 + \psi \chi(D_{\text{cyl}}) \psi)\right] \text{ in } K_1(D^*(W \subset W_\infty)^\Gamma). \quad (4.16)$$

Proof. Let us prove the case in which $n + 1$ is even. Obviously $C^*(W \subset W_\infty)^\Gamma \subset D^*(W \subset W_\infty)^\Gamma$ and we have in fact observed that it is an ideal. Using an obvious commutative diagram we see that the left hand side of (4.15) is nothing but the boundary map applied to the involution $[U^*\chi(D)_+] \in K_1(D^*(W_\infty)^\Gamma/D^*(W \subset W_\infty)^\Gamma)$. The Lemma follows immediately from Lemma 4.13. The odd case is similar. $\square$

Recall from Lemma 3.6 the homomorphism $j: K_*(D^*(\partial W)^\Gamma) \rightarrow K_*(D^*(W \subset W_\infty)^\Gamma)$ and observe that $j = j_+ \circ j_\partial$ with

$$\begin{aligned} j_\partial: K_*(D^*(\partial W)^\Gamma) &\xrightarrow{\cong} K_*(D^*(\partial W \subset \mathbb{R}_\geq \times \partial W)^\Gamma) \\ j_+: K_*(D^*(\partial W \subset \mathbb{R}_\geq \times \partial W)^\Gamma) &\rightarrow K_0(D^*(W \subset W_\infty)^\Gamma) \end{aligned}$$

(as we have already remarked, j_∂ is an isomorphism by the analogue of Lemma 3.4).

4.17 Lemma. *The following equations hold. If $n + 1$ is even*

$$\partial[U^*(\psi \chi(D_{\text{cyl}})_+ \psi)] = j_+(\partial[U^*(\psi_+ \chi(D_{\text{cyl}})_+ \psi_+)]) \text{ in } K_{n+1}(D^*(W \subset W_\infty)^\Gamma) \quad (4.18)$$

If $n + 1$ is odd

$$\partial[\frac{1}{2}(1 + \psi \chi(D_{\text{cyl}}) \psi)] = j_+(\partial[\frac{1}{2}(1 + \psi_+ \chi(D_{\text{cyl}}) \psi_+)]) \text{ in } K_{n+1}(D^*(W \subset W_\infty)^\Gamma) \quad (4.19)$$

Proof. We only prove (4.18), the other statements can be derived similarly. We make the obvious choice of adequate modules on $\mathbb{R}_\geq \times \partial W$ and on W_∞ , namely the L^2 -sections of the corresponding spinor bundles. Observe now that the adequate module for $\mathbb{R}_\geq \times \partial W$ is a direct summand of the one for W_∞ . Thus, we can choose the isometry V covering the inclusion $\mathbb{R}_\geq \times \partial W \hookrightarrow W_\infty$ to be simply given by the inclusion of the first module as a direct summand in the second. Then, by definition, $j_+([U^*(\psi_+ \chi(D_{\text{cyl}})_+ \psi_+)]) = [U^*(\psi \chi(D_{\text{cyl}})_+ \psi)]$ and since j_+ commutes with the boundary map, we are done. $\square$

Finally, we have the crucial

4.20 Proposition (delocalized APS index theorem on the cylinder). *If $n + 1$ is even then*

$$\partial[U^*(\psi_+ \chi(D_{\text{cyl}})_+ \psi_+)] = j_\partial \rho(D_\partial) \text{ in } K_{n+1}(D^*(\partial W \subset \mathbb{R}_\geq \times \partial W)^\Gamma). \quad (4.21)$$

Proof. We give the proof of this important Proposition in Subsection 4.3. $\square$

4.22 Remark. Of course, we expect that, if $n + 1$ is odd, the corresponding formula to Equation (4.21) holds, namely

$$\partial[\frac{1}{2}(1 + \psi_+ \chi(D_{\text{cyl}}) \psi_+)] = j_\partial \rho(D_\partial) \text{ in } K_{n+1}(D^*(\partial W \subset \mathbb{R}_\geq \times \partial W)^\Gamma). \quad (4.23)$$

However, so far we have not worked out a proof for this.

From (4.15), (4.18), (4.21) we obtain at once the proof of the theorem. Indeed, if $n + 1$ is even then

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_*(\text{Ind}(D)) &= \partial[U^*(\psi \chi(D_{\text{cyl}})_+ \psi)] = j_+(\partial[U^*(\psi_+ \chi(D_{\text{cyl}})_+ \psi_+)]) \\ &= j_+(j_\partial \rho(D_\partial)) = j(\rho(D_\partial)) \end{aligned}$$

which is precisely what we had to show. Similarly, using (4.16), (4.23) and (4.19) we obtain the theorem in the odd dimensional case.

4.24 Remark. Consider the statement of Proposition 4.20 and take $U = \text{id}$ there. Then, by applying j_∂^{-1} to both sides of (4.21) and of (4.23) and recalling Remark 4.8 we see that the Lemma is equivalent to the following fundamental equation

$$\delta_{\text{MV}}(\rho(D_{\mathbb{R} \times M})) = \rho(D_M) \text{ in } K_{n+1}(D^*(M)^\Gamma) \quad (4.25)$$

for $M = \partial W$. We shall prove that (4.25) holds for any n -dimensional Γ -cover M with positive scalar curvature and compact quotient. As we shall explain in a moment, (4.25) can be seen as a particular case of the partitioned manifold theorem for ρ -classes.

4.2 Partitioned manifold theorem for ρ -classes: reduction to the cylinder

Consider (W, g) , an $(n+1)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold with uniformly positive scalar curvature metric g , partitioned by a two-sided hypersurface M , $W = W_- \cup_M W_+$, with product structure M and with signed distance function $f: W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We also assume an isometric action of Γ , preserving M and with the property that M/Γ is compact. There is then a resulting map $u: M \rightarrow E\Gamma$ which we assume to have values in a Γ -finite subcomplex of $E\Gamma$. We have defined in Subsection 1.4 the partitioned manifold ρ -class $\rho_\Gamma^{\text{pm}}(g) \in K_n(D_\Gamma^*)$. We now show that this class is unchanged if we replace W by $M \times \mathbb{R}$. First of all, we extend our discussion in Remark 3.15 and give a more detailed description of $\delta_{\text{MV}}[D_W]$, with δ_{MV} the Mayer-Vietoris boundary homomorphism associated to the partition $W = W_- \cup_M W_+$. To this end we recall that $\delta_{\text{MV}}: K_n(D^*(W)^\Gamma) \rightarrow K_{n+1}(D^*(M \subset W)^\Gamma)$ is obtained by composing

$$\begin{aligned} K_n(D^*(W)^\Gamma) &\rightarrow K_n(D^*(W)^\Gamma / D^*(W_- \subset W)^\Gamma) \simeq \\ &K_n(D^*(W_+ \subset W)^\Gamma) / D^*(M \subset W)^\Gamma \xrightarrow{\partial} K_{n+1}(D^*(M \subset W)^\Gamma) \end{aligned}$$

By writing an element x in $K_n(D^*(W)^\Gamma)$ as $\chi_+ x \chi_+ + \chi_- x \chi_- + R$, with $R \in D^*(M \subset W)^\Gamma$, we see first of all that $\delta_{\text{MV}}(\rho(D_W))$, with $\rho(D_W) \in K_n(D^*(W)^\Gamma)$, is equal to $\partial[\chi_+ \rho(D_W) \chi_+]$ where ∂ is equal to the connecting homomorphism for the ideal $D^*(M \subset W)^\Gamma$ in $D^*(W_+ \subset W)^\Gamma$. Summarizing:

$$\delta_{\text{MV}}(\rho(D_W)) = \partial[\chi_+ \rho(D_W) \chi_+].$$

Observe now that there is an isomorphism of algebras

$$D^*(W_+ \subset W)^\Gamma / D^*(M \subset W)^\Gamma \xleftarrow{\alpha} D^*(W_+)^\Gamma / D^*(M \subset W_+)^\Gamma \quad (4.26)$$

and that the lift of $[\chi_+ \rho(D_W) \chi_+] \in D^*(W_+ \subset W)^\Gamma / D^*(M \subset W)^\Gamma$ through α is the class $[\chi_+ \rho(D_W) \chi_+] \in D^*(W_+)^\Gamma / D^*(M \subset W_+)^\Gamma$, i.e., the same element seen in a different algebra. Of course this correspondence will hold also for the associated K-theory elements. Consider now the manifold $W_{\text{cyl},+} := (\mathbb{R}_\leq \times M) \cup_M W_+$; thus we cut out W_- and we glue at its place $\mathbb{R}_\leq \times M$. We can also consider the class $[\chi_+ (D_{\text{cyl},+}) \chi_+] \in K_n(D^*(W_+ \subset W_{\text{cyl},+})^\Gamma / D^*(M \subset W_{\text{cyl},+})^\Gamma)$ and its lift to $K_n(D^*(W_+)^\Gamma / D^*(M \subset W_+)^\Gamma)$ under the K-theory isomorphism induced by the analogue to (4.26) but for $W_{\text{cyl},+}$. The two lifts can now be compared, for they live in the K-theory of the same algebra, which is $D^*(W_+)^\Gamma / D^*(M \subset W_+)^\Gamma$.

4.27 Lemma. *In $K_n(D^*(W_+)^\Gamma / D^*(M \subset W_+)^\Gamma)$ the following equality holds:*

$$[\chi_+ D_W \chi_+] = [\chi_+ (D_{\text{cyl},+}) \chi_+] \quad (4.28)$$

Assuming the lemma we now conclude our argument for the reduction to the cylinder. First of all, we observe that it suffices to prove that

$$\rho_\Gamma^{\text{pm}}(D_W) = \rho_\Gamma^{\text{pm}}(D_{\text{cyl},+}) \quad \text{in} \quad K_{n+1}(D_\Gamma^*).$$

Indeed, if this equality holds we can further modify $W_{\text{cyl},+}$ by cutting out M_+ and gluing in at its place $\mathbb{R}_\geq \times M$, obtaining from $W_{\text{cyl},+}$ the manifold $W_{\text{cyl},\text{cyl}}$, which is nothing but $\mathbb{R} \times M$. By the same argument above we obtain the equality

$$\rho_\Gamma^{\text{pm}}(D_{\text{cyl},+}) = \rho_\Gamma^{\text{pm}}(D_{\text{cyl},\text{cyl}}) \equiv \rho^{\text{pm}}(D_{\mathbb{R} \times M}) \quad \text{in} \quad K_{n+1}(D_\Gamma^*).$$

This proves that

$$\rho_\Gamma^{\text{pm}}(D_W) = \rho_\Gamma^{\text{pm}}(D_{\mathbb{R} \times M}) \quad \text{in} \quad K_{n+1}(D_\Gamma^*)$$

which is precisely what we wanted to show. In order to show that $\rho_\Gamma^{\text{pm}}(D_W) = \rho_\Gamma^{\text{pm}}(D_{\text{cyl},+})$ we use the Lemma and the following diagram, which is commutative. For the sake of brevity we set

$$A := D^*(W_+ \subset W_{\text{cyl},+}) / D^*(M \subset W_{\text{cyl},+}),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
B &:= D^*(W_+) / D^*(M \subset W_+), \\
C &= D^*(W_+ \subset W) / D^*(M \subset W) \\
A_\Gamma &:= D^*(E\Gamma \times \mathbb{R} \subset E\Gamma \times \mathbb{R}_\geq) / D^*(E\Gamma \times \{0\} \subset E\Gamma \times \mathbb{R}) \\
B_\Gamma &:= D^*(E\Gamma \times \mathbb{R}_\geq) / D^*(E\Gamma \times \{0\} \subset E\Gamma \times \mathbb{R}_\geq) \\
C_\Gamma &:= D^*(E\Gamma \times \mathbb{R}_\geq \subset E\Gamma \times \mathbb{R}) / D^*(E\Gamma \times \{0\} \subset E\Gamma \times \mathbb{R}) \\
\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma &:= D^*(E\Gamma \times \{0\} \subset E\Gamma \times \mathbb{R}) \\
\mathfrak{B}_\Gamma &:= D^*(E\Gamma \times \{0\} \subset E\Gamma \times \mathbb{R}_\geq) \\
\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma &:= D^*(E\Gamma \times \{0\} \subset E\Gamma \times \mathbb{R})
\end{aligned}$$

We notice furthermore that $n \equiv 1 \pmod 2$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
K_1(A) & \longrightarrow & K_1(A_\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & K_0(\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & K_0(D_\Gamma^*) \\
\uparrow \cong & & \uparrow \cong & & \uparrow & & \uparrow = \\
K_1(B) & \longrightarrow & K_1(B_\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & K_0(\mathfrak{B}_\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & K_0(D_\Gamma^*) \\
\downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow & & \downarrow = \\
K_1(C) & \longrightarrow & K_1(C_\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & K_0(\mathfrak{C}_\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & K_0(D_\Gamma^*)
\end{array}$$

The class $\rho_\Gamma^{\text{pm}}(D_W)$ can be obtained by mapping the class $[\chi_+ D_W \chi_+] \in K_1(C)$ all the way to $K_0(C_\Gamma^* \Gamma)$ via the homomorphisms of the bottom horizontal line. Here the naturality of the boundary map in K-theory has been used. This same class can also be computed, always applying commutativity and naturality, by lifting $[\chi_+ D_W \chi_+] \in K_1(C)$ to $K_1(B)$ and then traveling on the central horizontal line. The same argument applies to $\rho_\Gamma^{\text{pm}}(D_{\text{cyl},+})$, which is originally defined by considering $[\chi_+(D_{\text{cyl},+})\chi_+]$ in $K_1(A)$ and then traveling on the top horizontal line; the resulting class in $K_0(D_\Gamma^*)$ can also be obtained by lifting $[\chi_+(D_{\text{cyl},+})\chi_+]$ to $K_1(B)$ and then traveling on the central horizontal line. Since, by the lemma, the two lifts of $[\chi_+ D_W \chi_+]$ and $[\chi_+(D_{\text{cyl},+})\chi_+]$ are equal in $K_1(B)$, we see that $\rho_\Gamma^{\text{pm}}(D_W) = \rho_\Gamma^{\text{pm}}(D_{\text{cyl},+})$, as required.

We shall now prove Lemma 4.27.

Consider more generally the following situation: we have two complete Γ -manifolds as above, W and Z , both endowed with metrics of positive scalar curvature and with partitions

$$W = W_1 \cup_M W_2, \quad Z = W_1 \cup_M Z_2.$$

In other words, the two partitions have one component equal, W_1 , they (necessarily) involve the same hypersurface, M , but have the other component of the partition different. Choose a chopping function χ equal to one on both the spectrum of D_W and D_Z . We want to show that

$$\chi_{W_1}(\chi(D_W))\chi_{W_1} = \chi_{W_1}(\chi(D_Z))\chi_{W_1} \text{ in } D^*(W_1)^\Gamma / D^*(M \subset W_1)^\Gamma, \quad (4.29)$$

with χ_{W_1} denoting the characteristic function of W_1 . Consider the left hand side of the above equation, $\chi_{W_1}(\chi(D_W))\chi_{W_1}$. We know that the Fourier transform of χ is a smooth rapidly decreasing function away from 0, see [31, p.121]. Thus $\chi_{W_1}(\chi(D_W))\chi_{W_1}$ is (upto multiplication with $\sqrt{2\pi}$) approximated in norm by

$$\chi_{W_1} \left(\int_{-R}^R \hat{\chi}(\xi) e^{i\xi D_W} d\xi \right) \chi_{W_1}.$$

We rewrite this latter term as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \chi_{(W_1 \setminus U_R(M))} \left(\int_{-R}^R \hat{\chi}(\xi) e^{i\xi D_W} d\xi \right) \chi_{(W_1 \setminus U_R(M))} + \chi_{W_1} \left(\int_{-R}^R \hat{\chi}(\xi) e^{i\xi D_W} d\xi \right) \chi_{U_R(M)} \\
& + \chi_{U_R(M)} \left(\int_{-R}^R \hat{\chi}(\xi) e^{i\xi D_W} d\xi \right) \chi_{(W_1 \setminus U_R(M))}
\end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

Because of the unit propagation property, the first summand is unchanged if we replace D_W by D_Z , i.e. is equal to

$$\chi_{(W_1 \setminus U_R(M))} \left(\int_{-R}^R \hat{\chi}(\xi) e^{i\xi D_Z} d\xi \right) \chi_{(W_1 \setminus U_R(M))}.$$

We then rewrite (4.30) as

$$\begin{aligned} & \chi_{W_1} \left(\int_{-R}^R \hat{\chi}(\xi) e^{i\xi D_Z} d\xi \right) \chi_{W_1} + \chi_{W_1} \left(\int_{-R}^R \hat{\chi}(\xi) e^{i\xi D_W} d\xi \right) \chi_{U_R(M)} \\ & + \chi_{U_R(M)} \left(\int_{-R}^R \hat{\chi}(\xi) e^{i\xi D_W} d\xi \right) \chi_{(W_1 \setminus U_R(M))} - \chi_{W_1} \left(\int_{-R}^R \hat{\chi}(\xi) e^{i\xi D_Z} d\xi \right) \chi_{U_R(M)} \\ & - \chi_{U_R(M)} \left(\int_{-R}^R \hat{\chi}(\xi) e^{i\xi D_Z} d\xi \right) \chi_{(W_1 \setminus U_R(M))} \end{aligned}$$

The first summand in this sum approximates $\chi_{W_1}(\chi(D_Z))\chi_{W_1}$; moreover, by unit propagation speed the remaining four summands are elements in the ideal $D^*(M \subset W_1)^\Gamma$. We can therefore conclude that the difference

$$\chi_{W_1}(\chi(D_W))\chi_{W_1} - \chi_{W_1}(\chi(D_Z))\chi_{W_1}$$

is approximated by a sequence of elements in the ideal $D^*(M \subset W_1)^\Gamma$; since the ideal is closed we have proved that $\chi_{W_1}(\chi(D_W))\chi_{W_1} - \chi_{W_1}(\chi(D_Z))\chi_{W_1} \in D^*(M \subset W_1)^\Gamma$, i.e. that

$$\chi_{W_1}(\chi(D_W))\chi_{W_1} = \chi_{W_1}(\chi(D_Z))\chi_{W_1} \bmod D^*(M \subset W_1)^\Gamma.$$

The lemma is proved.

4.3 Proof of the delocalized APS index theorem on the cylinder

We will only treat the case in which $n+1$ is even.

We want to show the equality $\partial[U^*(\psi_+ \chi(D_{\text{cyl}}) + \psi_+)] = j_\partial \rho(D_\partial)$ in $K_{n+1}(D^*(\partial W \subset [0, \infty) \times \partial W)^\Gamma)$, where $j_\partial: K_*(D^*(\partial W)^\Gamma) \rightarrow K_*(D^*(\partial W \subset [0, \infty) \times \partial W)^\Gamma)$ is the map induced by the inclusion $\partial W \hookrightarrow [0, \infty) \times \partial W$.

4.31 Notation. In order to lighten the notation we shall always write M instead of ∂W and $\mathcal{L}^2(M)$ for the covariant M -module given by the L^2 -section of the spinor bundle of M , the latter denoted S_M . We shall consider $M \times \mathbb{R}$ and write $\mathcal{L}_\oplus^2(M \times \mathbb{R})$ for the L^2 -sections of the bundle obtained by pulling back $S_M \oplus S_M$ from M to $M \times \mathbb{R}$. We keep the notation $\mathcal{L}^2(M \times \mathbb{R})$ for the L^2 -sections of S_M . Similar notations are adopted for $M \times [0, \infty) \equiv M \times \mathbb{R}_\geq$, the half cylinder. Departing from the notation adopted so far, and only for this subsection, we denote by $\bar{D}$ the (Γ -equivariant) Dirac operator on M and by D_{cyl} the (Γ -equivariant) operator on $M \times \mathbb{R}$ (these being the only Dirac operators we will be concerned with).

By Remark 4.12 it suffices to show that the following equality holds in $K_{n+1}(D^*(M \subset M \times [0, \infty))^\Gamma)$:

$$\partial[p_+ \chi(D_{\text{cyl}}) + p_+] = j_\partial \rho(D) \quad (4.32)$$

with p_+ the characteristic function of $M \times \mathbb{R}_\geq$ in $M \times \mathbb{R}$. Notice that we have chosen U to be the identity.

In order to establish (4.32) we need to clarify the object on the right hand side. To define j_∂ we must find an isometry $V: \mathcal{L}^2(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(M \times \mathbb{R}_\geq)$ covering in the D^* -sense the inclusion $M \hookrightarrow M \times \mathbb{R}_\geq$, $m \mapsto (m, 0)$; see Subsection 1.1. Remark that we know that we can always find covariant modules H_1 for M , H_2 for $M \times \mathbb{R}_\geq$ and an isometry $V: H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ covering the inclusion in the D^* -sense; here we want to show that we can choose $H_1 = \mathcal{L}^2(M)$, $H_2 = \mathcal{L}^2(M \times \mathbb{R}_\geq)$ and also that we can describe explicitly the isometry V .

Consider $\mathcal{L}^2(M \times \mathbb{R}_\geq)$; this can be identified with $L^2([0, \infty), \mathcal{L}^2(M))$. Define V as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}^2(M) \ni s \longrightarrow Vs \in L^2([0, \infty), \mathcal{L}^2(M)), \quad (Vs)(t) := \sqrt{2|D|} e^{-t|D|}(s). \quad (4.33)$$

4.34 Proposition. *The bounded linear operator $V: \mathcal{L}^2(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(M \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq})$ of (4.33) covers in the D^* -sense the inclusion $i: M \hookrightarrow M \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq}$, $m \rightarrow (m, 0)$.*

Proof. We prove this in Subsection 4.4. □

We now observe that by its very definition the ρ -class of the operator on M , $\rho(D)$, is nothing but the class of the projector $[\chi_{[0, \infty)}(D)] \in K_0(D^*(M)^\Gamma)$:

$$\rho(D) = [\chi_{[0, \infty)}(D)] \text{ in } K_0(D^*(M)^\Gamma) \quad (4.35)$$

We have, by definition of j_∂ ,

$$j_\partial[\chi_{[0, \infty)}(D)] = [V\chi_{[0, \infty)}(D)V^*] \in K_0(D^*(M \subset M \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq})^\Gamma).$$

The operator $P := V\chi_{[0, \infty)}(D)V^*$, which is a projector, acts as follows on $L^2([0, \infty), \mathcal{L}^2(M))$

$$(V\chi_{[0, \infty)}(D)V^*g)(t) = \int_0^\infty \sqrt{2|D|}e^{-t|D|}\chi_{[0, \infty)}(D)\sqrt{2|D|}e^{-\tau|D|}g\tau. \quad (4.36)$$

Thus, we need to show that the K-theory class of the projector P given by (4.36) coincide with the index class $\partial[p_+\chi(D_{\text{cyl}})_+p_+]$. In order to achieve this it suffices to show that there exists an operator $L: \mathcal{L}^2(M \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(M \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq})$ such that in $D^*(M \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq})$

$$(p_+\chi(D_{\text{cyl}})_+p_+) \circ L = \text{Id}; \quad L \circ (p_+\chi(D_{\text{cyl}})_+p_+) = \text{Id} - P \quad (4.37)$$

Indeed, from the very definition of the boundary map, see [2], we would then have that $\partial[p_+\chi(D_{\text{cyl}})_+p_+] = [P]$ which is what we wish to prove.

In order to find such an L we first perform a deformation of the class $[p_+\chi(D_{\text{cyl}})_+p_+]$ in $K_1(D^*(M \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq})/D^*(M \subset M \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq}))$. Let us denote by t the variable on the line $\mathbb{R}$ appearing in $M \times \mathbb{R}$; let us denote by ∂_t the derivative with respect to t . We first concentrate our analysis on $M \times \mathbb{R}$. The operator D_{cyl} is given as

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & D - \partial_t \\ D + \partial_t & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.38)$$

where we recall that D denotes the Γ -equivariant Dirac operator on M . We have already observed that since D is assumed to be L^2 -invertible (we have PSC on M), we have that D_{cyl} is L^2 -invertible too. We choose as a chopping function χ the one given by $\chi(t) = 1$ for $t \geq 0$, $\chi(t) = -1$ for $t < 0$, which is smooth on the spectrum of D_{cyl} . Thus $\chi(D_{\text{cyl}})_+$ is the bounded operator on $\mathcal{L}^2(M \times \mathbb{R})$ given by the left bottom corner of

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{D - \partial_t}{\sqrt{D^2 - \partial_t^2}} \\ \frac{D + \partial_t}{\sqrt{D^2 - \partial_t^2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The operator $\chi(D_{\text{cyl}})_+$ will be written as $\frac{D + \partial_t}{\sqrt{D^2 - \partial_t^2}}$. We shall connect it to

$$\frac{|D| + \partial_t}{D - \partial_t}$$

which is also an invertible operator on $\mathcal{L}^2(M \times \mathbb{R})$. We observe here a few useful identities: $[D, \partial_t] = 0 = [|D|, \partial_t]$; $(|D| - \partial_t)(|D| + \partial_t) = D^2 - \partial_t^2 = (D - \partial_t)(D + \partial_t)$. The latter equality gives

$$\frac{|D| + \partial_t}{D - \partial_t} = \frac{D + \partial_t}{|D| - \partial_t}.$$

Notice, in particular, that

$$\left(\frac{|D| + \partial_t}{D - \partial_t}\right)^{-1} = \frac{|D| - \partial_t}{D + \partial_t}. \quad (4.39)$$

We claim that the line segment joining the two operators,

$$s \mapsto s \frac{D + \partial_t}{\sqrt{D^2 - \partial_t^2}} + (1 - s) \frac{|D| + \partial_t}{D - \partial_t}$$

is through L^2 -invertible operators in $D^*(M \times \mathbb{R})^\Gamma$.

The fact that for each $s \in [0, 1]$ the above operator is invertible can be seen by rewriting it as $A_s / ((D - \partial_t) \sqrt{D^2 - \partial_t^2})$ with $A_s = s(D^2 - \partial_t^2) + (1 - s)(|D| + \partial_t) \sqrt{D^2 - \partial_t^2}$; it suffices to show that A_s is invertible for each $s \in [0, 1]$, which is in turned proved with an elementary computation by showing that $A_s^* A_s > 0$ (here the L^2 -invertibility of D and D_{cyl} is used).

Next we address the fact that $s \frac{D + \partial_t}{\sqrt{D^2 - \partial_t^2}} + (1 - s) \frac{|D| + \partial_t}{D - \partial_t} \in D^*(M \times \mathbb{R})^\Gamma$ for each s . Since D^* is a C^* -subalgebra of the bounded operators of $\mathcal{L}^2(M \times \mathbb{R})$, it suffices to show that the end points of the convex combination are in $D^*(M \times \mathbb{R})^\Gamma$. We already know that $\frac{D + \partial_t}{\sqrt{D^2 - \partial_t^2}}$ is in $D^*(M \times \mathbb{R})$ (given that it is the left bottom corner of $D_{\text{cyl}}/|D_{\text{cyl}}|$). Thus we only need to establish the following

4.40 Proposition. *The operator $\frac{|D| + \partial_t}{D - \partial_t}$ belongs to $D^*(M \times \mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. We prove this in Subsection 4.4. □

Using the above convex combination we see easily that

$$[p_+ \frac{D + \partial_t}{\sqrt{D^2 - \partial_t^2}} p_+] = [p_+ \frac{|D| + \partial_t}{D - \partial_t} p_+] \text{ in } K_1(D^*(M \times \mathbb{R}_\geq)^\Gamma / D^*(M \subset M \times \mathbb{R}_\geq)^\Gamma)$$

In particular $\partial[p_+ \frac{D + \partial_t}{\sqrt{D^2 - \partial_t^2}} p_+] = \partial[p_+ \frac{|D| + \partial_t}{D - \partial_t} p_+]$ in $K_0(D^*(M \subset M \times \mathbb{R}_\geq)^\Gamma)$ and so we are reduced to the problem of finding a bounded operator $Q: \mathcal{L}^2(M \times \mathbb{R}_\geq) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(M \times \mathbb{R}_\geq)$ with the property that

$$(p_+ \frac{|D| + \partial_t}{D - \partial_t} p_+) \circ Q = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{L}^2(M \times \mathbb{R}_\geq)} \quad (4.41)$$

$$Q \circ (p_+ \frac{|D| + \partial_t}{D - \partial_t} p_+) = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{L}^2(M \times \mathbb{R}_\geq)} - P \quad (4.42)$$

We claim that we can take $Q := p_+ (\frac{|D| - \partial_t}{D + \partial_t}) p_+$. First we need to show that with this choice (4.41) holds. Using that $(p_+)^2 = p_+$, together with (4.39) we see that it suffices to show that $p_+ (\frac{|D| - \partial_t}{D + \partial_t}) p_+ = (\frac{|D| - \partial_t}{D + \partial_t}) p_+$ on $\mathcal{L}^2(M \times \mathbb{R})$. We decompose $\mathcal{L}^2(M \times \mathbb{R})$ using the spectral transform induced by the Browder-Gårding decomposition associated to D , see [30]. Thus there is an isometry

$$T: \mathcal{L}^2(M \times \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}, \oplus_j L^2(\mathbb{R}, d\mu_j)) \quad (4.43)$$

such that $p_+ (\frac{|D| - \partial_t}{D + \partial_t}) p_+ = (\frac{|D| - \partial_t}{D + \partial_t}) p_+$ if and only if

$$\chi_{[0, \infty)} \frac{|\lambda| - \partial_t}{\lambda + \partial_t} \chi_{[0, \infty)} = \frac{|\lambda| - \partial_t}{\lambda + \partial_t} \chi_{[0, \infty)}$$

on each $L^2(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathbb{R}, d\mu_j))$. Conjugate both sides of the last equation by Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}$, then write $\mathcal{F}^{-1} \frac{|\lambda| - \partial_t}{\lambda + \partial_t} \chi_{[0, \infty)} \mathcal{F}$ as $(\mathcal{F}^{-1} \frac{|\lambda| - \partial_t}{\lambda + \partial_t} \mathcal{F})(\mathcal{F}^{-1} \chi_{[0, \infty)} \mathcal{F})$. Then the right hand side is equal to the projection onto the Hardy space, see [36], followed by the multiplication operator by $\frac{|\lambda| + i\tau}{\lambda - i\tau} = \frac{\lambda + i\tau}{|\lambda| - i\tau}$; the latter function

is holomorphic on the upper half plane, so multiplication by it does preserve the Hardy space; it follows that projecting once again onto the Hardy space leaves it unchanged. This proves that $\chi_{[0,\infty)} \frac{|\lambda| - \partial_t}{\lambda + \partial_t} \chi_{[0,\infty)} = \frac{|\lambda| - \partial_t}{\lambda + \partial_t} \chi_{[0,\infty)}$ and thus that (4.41) holds. Next we tackle (4.42), i.e. the equation

$$p_+ \left(\frac{|D| - \partial_t}{D + \partial_t} \right) p_+ \circ \left(p_+ \frac{|D| + \partial_t}{D - \partial_t} p_+ \right) = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{L}^2(M \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq})} - P \quad (4.44)$$

with $P: L^2([0, \infty), \mathcal{L}^2(M)) \rightarrow L^2([0, \infty), \mathcal{L}^2(M))$ defined by

$$(Pg)(t) = \int_0^\infty \sqrt{2|D|} e^{-t|D|} \chi_{[0,\infty)}(D\partial) \sqrt{2|D|} e^{-u|D|} g du \quad (4.45)$$

4.46 Lemma. *Under the spectral transform*

$$T: \mathcal{L}^2(M \times [0, \infty)) \rightarrow L^2([0, \infty), \oplus_j L^2(\mathbb{R}, d\mu_j)) \quad (4.47)$$

the projector P diagonalizes. Let P_j be the restriction of P to $L^2([0, \infty), L^2(\mathbb{R}_\lambda, d\mu_j))$ and consider the decomposition

$$L^2([0, \infty), L^2(\mathbb{R}, d\mu)) = L^2([0, \infty), L^2([0, \infty)_\lambda, d\mu)) \oplus L^2([0, \infty), L^2((-\infty, 0)_\lambda, d\mu)).$$

Then P_j is diagonal and equal to

$$\begin{pmatrix} P_j^+ & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with P_j^+ described in the following way:

view $L^2([0, \infty), L^2([0, \infty)_\lambda, d\mu_j))$ as $L^2_{d\mu_j}([0, \infty)_\lambda, L^2[0, \infty)_t)$. With respect to this decomposition, P_j^+ is the direct integral $\int_{[0,\infty)} P_\lambda d\mu_j(\lambda)$ where $P_\lambda: L^2([0, \infty)) \rightarrow L^2([0, \infty))$ is the projector onto the subspace spanned by $f_\lambda(t) = \sqrt{2\lambda} e^{-\lambda t}$.

Observe that, because 0 is not in the spectrum of the operator D , 0 is not in the support of any of the measures μ_j so that f_λ indeed is in $L^2([0, \infty))$ for all λ relevant to us.

Proof. With respect to the decomposition of our Hilbert space, as D acts as multiplication with λ and $|D|$ acts as multiplication with $|\lambda|$ under the spectral transform T , the formula (4.45) becomes the direct integral over the operators

$$g \mapsto \int_0^\infty \sqrt{2|\lambda|} e^{-t|\lambda|} \chi_{[0,\infty)}(\lambda) \sqrt{2|\lambda|} e^{-u|\lambda|} g(u) du = \begin{cases} f_\lambda \langle g, f_\lambda \rangle_{L^2([0,\infty))}; & \lambda > 0 \\ 0; & \lambda < 0 \end{cases}.$$

□

We are now in the position of proving (4.44). We use the spectral transform and we reduce to a computation on each single $L^2([0, \infty), L^2(\mathbb{R}, d\mu))$. First we remark that the operator induced by the left hand side of (4.44) diagonalizes with respect to the decomposition

$$L^2([0, \infty), L^2([0, \infty)_\lambda, d\mu)) \oplus L^2([0, \infty), L^2((-\infty, 0)_\lambda, d\mu)),$$

even better, as before it becomes a direct integral over $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ with measure μ_j . Moreover the restriction to the second summand is equal to the identity, given that the restriction of the operator induced by $\frac{|D| + \partial_t}{D - \partial_t}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathbb{R}, d\mu))$ is equal to $(|\lambda| + \partial_t)(\lambda - \partial_t)^{-1}$ and it is therefore equal to $-\text{Id}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}, L^2((-\infty, 0)_\lambda, d\mu))$, and the same holds with the same argument for $\frac{|D| - \partial_t}{D + \partial_t}$. The conclusion is that the restriction of the two sides of (4.44) to the second summand of $L^2([0, \infty), L^2([0, \infty)_\lambda, d\mu)) \oplus L^2([0, \infty), L^2((-\infty, 0)_\lambda, d\mu))$ agree.

Thus we are left with the task of showing that the operator induced by the left hand side of (4.44) on $L^2([0, \infty), L^2([0, \infty)_\lambda, d\mu))$ in its direct integral decomposition for each $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ has a 1-dimensional null space, generated by $f_\lambda(t) = \sqrt{2\lambda}e^{-t\lambda}$, $t \geq 0$ and it is equal to the identity on the orthogonal complement of this null space. Using the direct integral decomposition, we treat $\lambda > 0$ as a constant. Let us then check that the function $f_\lambda(t)$ is in the null space of the operator induced by the left hand side of (4.44). In order to check this property we conjugate by Fourier transform. The inverse Fourier transform of $\sqrt{2\lambda}e^{-\lambda t}$, $t \geq 0$, is up to a constant equal to $1/(\lambda - i\tau)$ (compare [16, Appendix]) which is holomorphic outside $\tau = -i\lambda$; in particular it is holomorphic on the upper half plane which means that it is left unchanged by the projection onto the Hardy space (as it should, given that $\chi_{[0, \infty)}f_\lambda = f_\lambda$). Now we apply the operator of multiplication by $(\lambda - i\tau)(\lambda + i\tau)^{-1}$, getting the function $1/(\lambda + i\tau)$. This is holomorphic on the lower half plane and therefore its boundary value is in the orthogonal complement of the Hardy space, so it is mapped to 0 by projecting onto the Hardy space. The conclusion is that f_λ is indeed in the null space of the left hand side of (4.44).

Consider now the orthogonal complement of f_λ , i.e.

$$\{g \in L^2([0, \infty)); \int_0^\infty g(t)f_\lambda(t) dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t)e^{it(i\lambda)} = 0\}.$$

This is the space of functions g such that $(\mathcal{F}^{-1}g)(i\lambda) = 0$. This means that

$$\tau \mapsto \frac{(\lambda - i\tau)}{(\lambda + i\tau)} (\mathcal{F}^{-1}g)(\tau)$$

is still holomorphic on the upper half plane and thus projection onto the Hardy space leaves it unchanged. Composing with the multiplication operator by $\frac{(\lambda + i\tau)}{(\lambda - i\tau)}$ gives back $\mathcal{F}^{-1}g$. Thus the left hand side of (4.44) acts as the identity on the orthogonal complement of f_λ and the conclusion is that the left hand side of (4.44) is precisely equal to $\text{Id} - P$, thanks to Lemma 4.46.

4.4 Proof of Propositions 4.34 and 4.40

We begin by proving Proposition 4.34.

We wish to prove that the bounded linear operator $V: \mathcal{L}^2(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(M \times \mathbb{R}_\geq)$ defined by $(Vs)(t) := \sqrt{2|D|}e^{-t|D|}(s)$ is an isometry, that it satisfies the property that $\phi V - V(\phi \circ i)$ is compact for each $\phi \in C_0(\mathbb{R}_\geq \times M)$, and finally that it is the norm-limit of bounded linear operators U satisfying the propagation condition appearing in Definition 1.7.

The fact that V is an isometry is proved by direct computation, using the fact that the spectrum of D does not contain zero, so that $e^{-t|D|}$ converges (exponentially) to zero for $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Consider next the propagation condition which we recall here: *there exists an $R > 0$ such that $\phi U \psi = 0$ if $d(\text{supp } \phi, i(\text{supp } \psi)) > R$, with $\phi \in C_0(M \times \mathbb{R}_\geq)$ and $\psi \in C_0(M)$* . We must find an approximating sequence of bounded linear operators U with such a property. Consider the function $h_t(x) := \sqrt{|x|}e^{-t|x|}$. Our operator V is obtained directly from h_t by

$$(Vs)(t) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{h}_t(\xi) e^{i\xi D}(s) d\xi.$$

We consider the function $f_t(x)$ equal to zero for $x < 0$ and equal to $\sqrt{x}e^{-tx}$ for $x \geq 0$. We write $h_t = f_t + g_t$, with $g_t(x) := f_t(-x)$. We compute the Fourier-Laplace transform of f_t ,

$$\hat{f}_t(\zeta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^{+\infty} \sqrt{x} e^{-x(t+i\zeta)} dx,$$

and we observe that it is a holomorphic function in the region $|\text{Im}(\zeta)| < t$. We compute explicitly $\hat{f}_t(is)$ for $s < t$ by carrying out the integral and get

$$\hat{f}_t(is) = C \frac{1}{(t-s)^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \quad \text{with} \quad C = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^{+\infty} \sqrt{x} e^{-x} dx.$$

Thus, by the identity principle for holomorphic functions, we deduce that

$$\widehat{f}_t(\zeta) = C(t + i\zeta)^{-\frac{3}{2}},$$

where we choose the branch of the square root such that $t^{\frac{3}{2}}$ is positive. Going back to h_t we have therefore proved that for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$

$$C^{-1}\widehat{h}_t(\xi) = (t + i\xi)^{-\frac{3}{2}} + (t - i\xi)^{-\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Let $R \in \mathbb{R}$, $R > 0$. We define a bounded linear operator $U_R: \mathcal{L}^2(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(M \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq})$ as follows:

$$(U_R s)(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-R^4}^{R^4} \widehat{h}_t(\xi) e^{i\xi D} dx & \text{if } t \leq R \\ 0 & \text{if } t > R \end{cases}.$$

It is clear that U_R satisfies the propagation condition. We have

$$\|(U_R - V)(s)\|^2 \leq \sqrt{2} \|e^{-R|D|}\|^2 \|s\|^2 + \sqrt{2} \int_0^R \left\| \int_{|\xi| > R^4} \widehat{h}_t(\xi) e^{i\xi D} d\xi(s) \right\|^2 dt.$$

For the second summand on the right hand side we can use the explicit description of $\widehat{h}_t(\xi)$, getting the estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^R \left\| \int_{|\xi| > R^4} \widehat{h}_t(\xi) e^{i\xi D}(s) \right\|^2 dt &\leq \|s\|^2 \int_0^R \left(\int_{|\xi| > R^4} |\widehat{h}_t(\xi)| d\xi \right)^2 dt \\ &\leq \|s\|^2 \int_0^R \left(\int_{|\xi| > R^4} (C|t + i\xi|^{-\frac{3}{2}} + C|t - i\xi|^{-\frac{3}{2}}) d\xi \right)^2 dt \\ &\leq 2C \|s\|^2 \int_0^R \left(\int_{|\xi| > R^4} |\xi|^{-\frac{3}{2}} d\xi \right)^2 dt \\ &\leq C' \frac{1}{R} \|s\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Summarizing, we have shown that there exists a positive $C > 0$ such that

$$\|(U_R - V)(s)\|^2 \leq C \left(\|e^{-R|D|}\|^2 + R^{-1} \right) \cdot \|s\|^2$$

proving that $U_R \rightarrow V$ in operator norm as $R \rightarrow +\infty$.

Next we need to show that V satisfies that $\phi V - V(\phi \circ i)$ is compact for each $\phi \in C_0(\mathbb{R}_{\geq} \times M)$. By the Kasparov Lemma 3.8 it suffices to prove that V has the property that $\phi_1 V \psi_2$ is compact whenever $\phi_1 \in C_0(M \times [0, \infty))$, $\psi_2 \in C_0(M)$ and the image of the support of ψ_2 through the inclusion map i is disjoint from the support of ϕ_1 . Clearly, it suffices to prove that $\phi_1 V \psi_2$ is the norm limit of compact operators. We can obviously consider $\phi_1 = \psi_1 \otimes \alpha$ with $\psi_1 \in C_0(M)$ and $\alpha \in C_0[0, \infty)$. There are then two cases:

- (1) $\alpha(0) = 0$, and we may as well assume that α is supported away from $t = 0$;
- (2) α is not supported away from $t = 0$ but $d(\text{supp} \psi_1, \text{supp} \psi_2) \geq \delta > 0$.

Let us treat (1) first. Take $\Lambda \gg 0$ and consider $\chi_{[-\Lambda, \Lambda]}$. Then we can consider $(\psi_1 \otimes \alpha) U_{\Lambda} \psi_2$ with $(U_{\Lambda} s)(t) := \sqrt{2|D|} e^{-t|D|} \chi_{[-\Lambda, \Lambda]}(D)(s)$. The operator $(\psi_1 \otimes \alpha) U_{\Lambda} \psi_2$ is compact; indeed $\chi_{[-\Lambda, \Lambda]}(D)$ is in $C^*(M)$, so that $\chi_{[-\Lambda, \Lambda]}(D) \psi$ is compact for each $\psi \in C_0(M)$. We are considering $(\psi_1 \otimes \alpha) V \chi_{[-\Lambda, \Lambda]}(D) \psi_2$; since $\chi_{[-\Lambda, \Lambda]}(D) \psi_2$ is compact and since V is an isometry (and the composition of a compact operator with a bounded operator is again compact), we conclude that $(\psi_1 \otimes \alpha) U_{\Lambda} \psi_2$ is compact. It remains to show that the operator norm of

$$(\psi_1 \otimes \alpha) V \psi_2 - (\psi_1 \otimes \alpha) U_{\Lambda} \psi_2$$

is small. We shall achieve this by proving that

$$\alpha V - \alpha U_\Lambda$$

is small in norm. Consider the spectral transform (4.47); under this transformation, which is an isometry, the operators V and U_Λ diagonalize as the direct sum of bounded operators $V_j: L^2(\mathbb{R}_\lambda, d\mu_j) \rightarrow L^2[0, \infty), L^2(\mathbb{R}_\lambda, d\mu_j)$ and similarly for U_Λ . We have: $(V_j \sigma)(t, \lambda) = e^{-t|\lambda|} \sqrt{2|\lambda|} \sigma(\lambda)$ and similarly for $U_{\Lambda,j}$. Recall that we are under the assumption that the L^2 -spectrum of D does not contain 0. Thus 0 is never in the support of any of the measures μ_j . We are also under the assumption that α is supported away from 0. Using this and some elementary computation one proves that $\|\alpha V_j \psi - \alpha U_{\Lambda,j} \psi\|$ is exponentially small. Thus $\alpha U_{\Lambda,j} \rightarrow \alpha V_j$ in norm and therefore $(\psi_1 \otimes \alpha) U_\Lambda \psi_2 \rightarrow (\psi_1 \otimes \alpha) V \psi_2$ in norm, which is what we wanted to show.

Next we tackle (2). It will certainly suffice to work under the assumption that $\alpha \equiv 1$, so that we are looking at $\psi_1 U \psi_2$ with $d(\text{supp} \psi_1, \text{supp} \psi_2) \geq \delta > 0$. Let $P: \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}_\geq \times M) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}_\geq \times M)$ be the operator induced by the multiplication operator by the characteristic function on the t -interval $[0, \epsilon\delta)$. Then $\psi_1 U \psi_2 = P \psi_1 U \psi_2 + (\text{Id} - P) \psi_1 U \psi_2$. The second summand on the right hand side is compact by the same argument we have employed for (1). Thus it suffices to show that the norm of $P \psi_1 U \psi_2$, as an operator from $\mathcal{L}^2(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}_\geq \times M)$, is less than ϵ . Write $\psi_1 U \psi_2$ as

$$\psi_1 \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{h}_t(\omega) e^{i\omega D} d\omega \right) \psi_2$$

with $h_t(\lambda) := \sqrt{2|\lambda|} e^{-t|\lambda|}$. From the assumption $d(\text{supp} \psi_1, \text{supp} \psi_2) \geq \delta > 0$ and the well known properties of $e^{i\omega D}$ this is equal to

$$\psi_1 \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{|\omega| \geq \delta} \hat{h}_t(\omega) e^{i\omega D} d\omega \right) \psi_2$$

Let $s \in \mathcal{L}^2(M)$. Then

$$\|P \psi_1 U \psi_2(s)\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}_\geq \times M)}^2 \leq \int_0^{\epsilon\delta} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{|\omega| \geq \delta} |\hat{h}_t(\omega)| d\omega \right)^2 \|\psi_1\|_\infty^2 \|\psi_2\|_\infty^2 \|s\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 dt.$$

It remains to show that $\int_0^{\epsilon\delta} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{|\omega| \geq \delta} |\hat{h}_t(\omega)| d\omega \right)^2 dt$ is small. However, from the explicit computation of $\hat{h}_t$ we see that this is less than or equal to

$$C \int_0^{\epsilon\delta} \left(\int_{|\omega| \geq \delta} |\omega|^{-3/2} d\omega \right)^2 dt \quad \text{with } C > 0;$$

since this latter term is equal to $C\epsilon\delta \frac{16}{\delta} = 16C\epsilon$, the proof of Proposition 4.34 is complete.

We now tackle the proof of Proposition 4.40. We want to show that $\frac{|D| + \partial_t}{D - \partial_t}$ belongs to $D^*(M \times \mathbb{R})^\Gamma$. We must prove that this operator is a norm limit of operators that are pseudo-local and of finite propagation. We treat the finite propagation condition first. We write $\frac{|D| + \partial_t}{D - \partial_t}$ as $\frac{|D|}{D} \frac{D}{D - \partial_t} + \frac{\partial_t}{D - \partial_t}$. We already know that $\frac{|D|}{D}$ is approximated by operators of finite propagation; so we need to show that the same is true for the bounded operators $\frac{D}{D - \partial_t}$ and $\frac{\partial_t}{D - \partial_t}$. It suffices to show that $(D - \partial_t)^{-1}$ can be approximated by operators of finite propagation in the operator norm from L^2 to H^1 . Because of sign issues we prefer to show that $(D - \partial_t)^{-2}$ can be approximated by operators of finite propagation in the operator norm from L^2 to H^2 . Let f be a smooth bounded function equal to $1/x^2$ on the spectrum of the invertible operator $(D - \partial_t)$. Notice that f , and thus its Fourier transform $\hat{f}$, is L^2 . We consider the function $x^2 f$ and we can arrange so that $g(x) := x^2 f - 1$ is compactly supported. Thus its Fourier transform $\hat{g}(\xi)$ will be in the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}$. This means that $\partial_\xi \hat{f} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \delta_0$ is an element in $\mathcal{S}$; we deduce from this that $\hat{f}$ is continuous, smooth outside

0 and of Schwartz class as $|\xi| \rightarrow +\infty$. Write $\hat{f} = g_1 + g_2$ with g_1 compactly supported and $g_2 \in \mathcal{S}$ with the property that $|\partial_x^2 \hat{g}_2|_\infty$ is small. Then $f = h_1 + h_2$ with h_1 having compactly supported Fourier transform and h_2 with the property that $|x^2 h_2|_\infty$ is small. We deduce that

$$f(D - \partial_t) = (D - \partial_t)^{-2} \quad \text{and} \quad \|(D - \partial_t)^2 f(D - \partial_t) - (D - \partial_t)^2 h_1((D - \partial_t))\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2}$$

is small. Since $g_1(D - \partial_t)$ is of finite propagation we finally deduce that $(D - \partial_t)^{-2}$ can be approximated by operators of finite propagation in the operator norm from L^2 to H^2 , as required. Summarizing, we have proved that $\frac{D}{D - \partial_t}$ and $\frac{\partial_t}{D - \partial_t}$, and therefore $\frac{|D| + \partial_t}{D - \partial_t}$, are approximated by operators of finite propagation in the L^2 -operator norm.

We must now deal with pseudolocality. We write $\frac{|D| + \partial_t}{D - \partial_t}$ as $\frac{|D|}{D - \partial_t} + \frac{\partial_t}{D - \partial_t}$. Then we observe that the second summand satisfies the pseudolocality property; indeed, proceeding as above, we know that this operator is the limit of finite propagation operators which means that to it we can apply the Kasparov Lemma 3.8. It thus suffices to prove that if ψ and ϕ are smooth and both of compact support, and if their supports are disjoint then $\psi \frac{\partial_t}{D - \partial_t} \phi$ is compact. However, given that $\frac{\partial_t}{D - \partial_t}$ is the sum of a properly supported pseudodifferential operators of order 0 and of an integral operator with smooth kernel, $\psi \frac{\partial_t}{D - \partial_t} \phi$ is a smoothing operator with compactly supported kernel and such an operator is compact. Next we treat $\frac{|D|}{D - \partial_t}$. We would like to apply the above reasoning; however we need to deal with the complication that $|D|$, which should be written more precisely as $|D| \otimes \text{Id}$, is not a pseudodifferential operator.

We observe nevertheless that $\frac{|D|}{D - \partial_t}$ is a limit of finite propagation operators (just write it as $\frac{D}{D - \partial_t} \circ \frac{|D|}{D}$ and apply the result established above); thus we can apply the Kasparov Lemma 3.8 in order to check its pseudolocality. More explicitly, we need to establish the following claim.

4.48 Claim. $\psi \frac{|D|}{D - \partial_t} \phi$ is a compact operator $\forall \psi, \phi \in C_c^\infty(M \times \mathbb{R})$ such that $\phi\psi = 0$.

Choose $\tilde{\phi}$ of compact support such that $\phi\tilde{\phi} = \phi$ and $\psi\tilde{\phi} = 0$; choose μ of compact support such that $\psi(1 - \mu) = 0$, $\|d\mu\|_\infty \leq 1$ and $d(\text{supp}(1 - \mu), \text{supp}\phi) \gg 0$. Note, for later use, that we also have $d(\text{supp}(d\mu), \text{supp}\phi) \gg 0$. We write

$$\psi \frac{|D|}{D - \partial_t} \phi = \psi \frac{\text{Id}}{D - \partial_t} \mu |D| \phi \tilde{\phi} + \psi \frac{D}{D - \partial_t} D^{-1} (1 - \mu) |D| \phi. \quad (4.49)$$

Using classical results, see for example [1, (5.4)], we can approximate $\mu |D| \phi$ by order one pseudodifferential operators (localized in the same region), where the approximation is taken with respect to the operator norm from H^1 to L^2 . Thus we can approximate $\frac{\text{Id}}{D - \partial_t} \mu |D| \phi$ by zero order pseudodifferential operators; we conclude, reasoning as above, that we can approximate $\psi \frac{\text{Id}}{D - \partial_t} \mu |D| \phi \tilde{\phi}$, which is the first summand on the right hand side of (4.49), by compact operators. Now we deal with the second summand of (4.49). Observe that $D^{-1}(1 - \mu)$ is equal to $(1 - \mu)D^{-1} + D^{-1} \text{cl}(d\mu)D^{-1}$, where cl stands for Clifford multiplication. Thus

$$\psi \frac{D}{D - \partial_t} D^{-1} (1 - \mu) |D| \phi = \psi \frac{D}{D - \partial_t} (1 - \mu) D^{-1} |D| \phi + \psi \frac{1}{D - \partial_t} \text{cl}(d\mu) D^{-1} |D| \phi. \quad (4.50)$$

Even if $(1 - \mu)$ is only bounded, we know that the operator $\psi \frac{D}{D - \partial_t} (1 - \mu)$ appearing in the first summand on the right hand side of (4.50) is compact, given that $\frac{D}{D - \partial_t}$ is approximated by finite propagation operators; thus, since $D^{-1}|D|\phi$ is bounded, the whole first summand is compact. The second summand on the right hand side of (4.50) is small: here we use that $|D|/D$ is approximated by finite propagation operators and that $d(\text{supp}(d\mu), \text{supp}\phi) \gg 0$ so that $\text{cl}(d\mu)D^{-1}|D|\phi$ has L^2 -operator norm close to 0. The pseudolocality of $\frac{|D| + \partial_t}{D - \partial_t}$, and thus Proposition 4.40, has been proved.

4.5 Proof of the partitioned theorem for ρ -classes on the cylinder

The results of the previous subsection establish that for any n -dimensional Γ -manifold without boundary, n odd, with isometric, free, cocompact action and positive scalar curvature one has the fundamental equality

$$\delta_{\text{MV}} \rho(D_{\mathbb{R} \times M}) = \rho(D_M) \quad \text{in } K_{n+1}(D^*(M)^\Gamma)$$

with δ_{MV} as in subsection 3.4. In particular, from this equation, we obtain at once that

$$\rho_{\Gamma}^{\text{pm}}(D_{\mathbb{R} \times M}) = \rho_{\Gamma}(D_M) \text{ in } K_{n+1}(D_{\Gamma}^*).$$

Since we have already reduced the general partition theorem for ρ -classes to this particular equality, we conclude that the theorem is valid in full generality.

5 Mapping the positive scalar curvature sequence to analysis

In this section, we finally prove Theorem 1.31, namely that it is possible to define the diagram (1.32), which we rewrite below, and prove that it is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \longrightarrow & \Omega_{n+1}^{\text{spin}}(B\Gamma) & \longrightarrow & R_{n+1}^{\text{spin}}(B\Gamma) & \longrightarrow & \text{Pos}_n^{\text{spin}}(B\Gamma) & \longrightarrow & \Omega_n^{\text{spin}}(B\Gamma) & \longrightarrow \\ & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \text{Ind}_{\Gamma} & & \downarrow \rho_{\Gamma} & & \downarrow \beta & \\ \longrightarrow & K_{n+1}(B\Gamma) & \longrightarrow & K_{n+1}(C_r^*\Gamma) & \longrightarrow & K_{n+1}(D_{\Gamma}^*) & \longrightarrow & K_n(B\Gamma) & \longrightarrow \end{array}$$

First of all, we need to give a precise definition for the vertical homomorphisms. Consider an element $[Y, f: Y \rightarrow B\Gamma, g_{\partial}] \in R_{n+1}^{\text{spin}}(B\Gamma)$. Let g_Y be a Riemannian metric on Y extending g_{∂} . We consider the Galois Γ -covering $W := f^*E\Gamma$, endowed with the lifted metric g_W . We consider (W_{∞}, g) , the complete Riemannian manifold with cylindrical ends associated to W . We wish to define $\text{Ind}_{\Gamma}([Y, f: Y \rightarrow B\Gamma, g_{\partial}]) \in K_{n+1}(C_{\Gamma}^*)$; to this end consider the relative coarse index class $\text{Ind}^{\text{rel}}(D_{W_{\infty}}) \in K_{n+1}(D^*(W \subset W_{\infty})^{\Gamma})$ and its image $\text{Ind}(D_W) \in K_{n+1}(D^*(W)^{\Gamma})$ through the canonical isomorphism $K_{n+1}(D^*(W \subset W_{\infty})^{\Gamma}) \simeq K_{n+1}(D^*(W)^{\Gamma})$. We then consider the image of this class through the canonical isomorphism $u_*: K_{n+1}(D^*(W \subset W_{\infty})^{\Gamma}) \simeq K_{n+1}(C_{\Gamma}^*)$ induced by the classifying map $u: W \rightarrow E\Gamma$. We have denoted this image by $\text{Ind}_{\Gamma}(D_W)$, see (1.20). We set

$$\text{Ind}_{\Gamma}([Y, f: Y \rightarrow B\Gamma, g_{\partial}]) := \text{Ind}_{\Gamma}(D_W) \in K_{n+1}(C_{\Gamma}^*).$$

That this index map $R_{n+1}^{\text{spin}}(X) \xrightarrow{\text{Ind}_{\Gamma}} K_{n+1}(C_r^*\Gamma)$ is well defined follows from the compatibility between the coarse index class $\text{Ind}^{\text{rel}}(D_{W_{\infty}})$ and the Mishchenko-Fomenko index class, either obtained on the associated manifold with cylindrical ends or à la Atiyah-Patodi-Singer, see 2.10, then applying to the Mishchenko-Fomenko index class [3] or [21], where [3] employs a relative index theorem and [21] is based on a gluing formula for index classes. We remark that it would also be possible to state and prove a relative index theorem similar to [3] but in coarse geometry and then apply Bunke's argument directly to the coarse index class $\text{Ind}_{\Gamma}(D_W)$.

Consider now an element $[Z, f: Z \rightarrow B\Gamma, g_Z] \in \text{Pos}_n^{\text{spin}}(B\Gamma)$; we consider the Γ -covering $M := f^*B\Gamma$ and we endow it with the lifted metric g . Then, by definition,

$$\rho_{\Gamma}[Z, f: Z \rightarrow B\Gamma, g_Z] = \rho_{\Gamma}(g) \in K_{n+1}(D_{\Gamma}^*).$$

The fact that ρ_{Γ} is well defined follows from Corollary 1.22. Finally, let us recall the definition of the map $\beta: \Omega_{n+1}^{\text{spin}}(B\Gamma) \rightarrow K_{n+1}(B\Gamma)$, as given by Higson and Roe in [11], [12], [13]. Consider an element $[Z, f: Z \rightarrow B\Gamma] \in \Omega_{n+1}^{\text{spin}}(B\Gamma)$ and let $M := f^*E\Gamma$, endowed with any Γ -invariant Riemannian metric g . We consider the class $[D_M] \in K_n(D^*(M)^{\Gamma}/C^*(M)^{\Gamma}) \simeq K_{n+1}(M/\Gamma) \equiv K_{n+1}(Z)$ and we push-it forward through f_* to $K_{n+1}(B\Gamma)$:

$$\beta[Z, f: Z \rightarrow B\Gamma] := f_*[D_M] \in K_{n+1}(B\Gamma).$$

We must now tackle the commutativity of the diagram. We consider the three distinct squares of the diagram from left to right. The commutativity of the first square, which is implicitly discussed in the work of Higson-Roe, follows from the definition of the $C_r^*\Gamma$ -index class, as given in Subsection 1.2 and Subsection 1.3. The commutativity of the second square is a direct consequence of our APS index theorem, see Corollary 1.19 and more precisely formula (1.21). The commutativity of the third square is again a direct consequence of the definitions. The theorem is proved.

References

- [1] M. F. Atiyah and I. M. Singer. The index of elliptic operators. I. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 87:484–530, 1968.
- [2] Bruce Blackadar. *K-theory for operator algebras*, volume 5 of *Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 1998.
- [3] Ulrich Bunke. A K -theoretic relative index theorem and Callias-type Dirac operators. *Math. Ann.*, 303(2):241–279, 1995.
- [4] Alain Connes and Henri Moscovici. Cyclic cohomology, the Novikov conjecture and hyperbolic groups. *Topology*, 29(3):345–388, 1990.
- [5] Bernhard Hanke, Daniel Pape, and Thomas Schick. Codimension two obstructions to positive scalar curvature. preprint.
- [6] Nigel Higson. A note on the cobordism invariance of the index. *Topology*, 30(3):439–443, 1991.
- [7] Nigel Higson, Erik Kjør Pedersen, and John Roe. C^* -algebras and controlled topology. *K-Theory*, 11(3):209–239, 1997.
- [8] Nigel Higson and John Roe. A homotopy invariance theorem in coarse cohomology and K -theory. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 345(1):347–365, 1994.
- [9] Nigel Higson and John Roe. On the coarse Baum-Connes conjecture. In *Novikov conjectures, index theorems and rigidity, Vol. 2 (Oberwolfach, 1993)*, volume 227 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 227–254. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [10] Nigel Higson and John Roe. *Analytic K-homology*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2000. Oxford Science Publications.
- [11] Nigel Higson and John Roe. Mapping surgery to analysis. I. Analytic signatures. *K-Theory*, 33(4):277–299, 2005.
- [12] Nigel Higson and John Roe. Mapping surgery to analysis. II. Geometric signatures. *K-Theory*, 33(4):301–324, 2005.
- [13] Nigel Higson and John Roe. Mapping surgery to analysis. III. Exact sequences. *K-Theory*, 33(4):325–346, 2005.
- [14] Nigel Higson and John Roe. K -homology, assembly and rigidity theorems for relative eta invariants. *Pure Appl. Math. Q.*, 6(2, Special Issue: In honor of Michael Atiyah and Isadore Singer):555–601, 2010.
- [15] Nigel Higson, John Roe, and Guo Liang Yu. A coarse Mayer-Vietoris principle. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 114(1):85–97, 1993.
- [16] David W. Kammler. *A first course in Fourier analysis*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 2007.
- [17] G. G. Kasparov. Topological invariants of elliptic operators. I. K -homology. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 39(4):796–838, 1975.
- [18] Eric Leichtnam, John Lott, and Paolo Piazza. On the homotopy invariance of higher signatures for manifolds with boundary. *J. Differential Geom.*, 54(3):561–633, 2000.
- [19] Eric Leichtnam and Paolo Piazza. The b -pseudodifferential calculus on Galois coverings and a higher Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index theorem. *Mém. Soc. Math. Fr. (N.S.)*, (68):iv+121, 1997.

- [20] Eric Leichtnam and Paolo Piazza. Homotopy invariance of twisted higher signatures on manifolds with boundary. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 127(2):307–331, 1999.
- [21] Eric Leichtnam and Paolo Piazza. On higher eta-invariants and metrics of positive scalar curvature. *K-Theory*, 24(4):341–359, 2001.
- [22] Eric Leichtnam and Paolo Piazza. Étale groupoids, eta invariants and index theory. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 587:169–233, 2005.
- [23] J. Lott. Superconnections and higher index theory. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 2(4):421–454, 1992.
- [24] John Lott. Higher eta-invariants. *K-Theory*, 6(3):191–233, 1992.
- [25] Richard B. Melrose. *The Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index theorem*, volume 4 of *Research Notes in Mathematics*. A K Peters Ltd., Wellesley, MA, 1993.
- [26] Hitoshi Moriyoshi and Toshikazu Natsume. The Godbillon-Vey cyclic cocycle and longitudinal Dirac operators. *Pacific J. Math.*, 172(2):483–539, 1996.
- [27] Hitoshi Moriyoshi and Paolo Piazza. Eta cocycles, relative pairings and the godbillon-vey index theorem. preprint 2011, to appear in GAFA.
- [28] Daniel Pape. *Index theory and positive scalar curvature*. PhD thesis, Mathematisches Institut, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, 2011.
- [29] Paolo Piazza and Thomas Schick. Bordism, rho-invariants and the Baum-Connes conjecture. *J. Non-commut. Geom.*, 1(1):27–111, 2007.
- [30] Mohan Ramachandran. von Neumann index theorems for manifolds with boundary. *J. Differential Geom.*, 38(2):315–349, 1993.
- [31] John Roe. Partitioning noncompact manifolds and the dual Toeplitz problem. In *Operator algebras and applications, Vol. 1*, volume 135 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 187–228. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1988.
- [32] John Roe. A note on the relative index theorem. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)*, 42(167):365–373, 1991.
- [33] John Roe. *Index theory, coarse geometry, and topology of manifolds*, volume 90 of *CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics*. Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC, 1996.
- [34] John Roe. Positive curvature, partial vanishing theorems and coarse indices. arXiv:1210.6100v1, 2012.
- [35] Thomas Schick. L^2 -index theorems, KK -theory, and connections. *New York J. Math.*, 11:387–443 (electronic), 2005.
- [36] Elias M. Stein and Rami Shakarchi. *Fourier analysis*, volume 1 of *Princeton Lectures in Analysis*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2003. An introduction.
- [37] Charlotte Wahl. Higher rho-invariants and the surgery structure set. arXiv:1008.3644, to appear in J. Topology, 2010.
- [38] N. E. Wegge-Olsen. *K-theory and C^* -algebras*. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1993. A friendly approach.

- [39] Fangbing Wu. The higher Γ -index for coverings of manifolds with boundaries. In *Cyclic cohomology and noncommutative geometry (Waterloo, ON, 1995)*, volume 17 of *Fields Inst. Commun.*, pages 169–183. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.
- [40] Mostafa Esfahani Zadeh. Index theory and partitioning by enlargeable hypersurfaces. *J. Noncommut. Geom.*, 4(3):459–473, 2010.